

MAT 1000 / 457 : Real Analysis I

Assignment 9, due November 28, 2012

- (Folland 3.7) Let ν be a signed measure on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{M}) . Show that, for every measurable set $E \subset X$,
 - $\nu^+(E) = \sup\{\nu(F) : F \in \mathcal{M}, F \subset E\}$, and correspondingly for ν^- ;
 - the total variation measure $|\nu| = \nu^+ + \nu^-$ satisfies

$$|\nu|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\nu(E_j)| : n \geq 0, E_1, \dots, E_n \subset E \text{ disjoint, and } \bigcup_{j=1}^n E_j = E \right\}.$$

- (a) Let A, B be non-empty open sets in \mathbb{R}^n , and let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a bijection. Assume that for subsets $E \subset A$, the image $T(E)$ is (Lebesgue) measurable if and only if E is measurable. Prove that the **pushforward** of Lebesgue measure to B , given by

$$T\#m(F) = m(T^{-1}(F)), \quad \text{for } F \subset B$$

is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Hint: Use that sets of positive measure contain non-measurable subsets.

- If T is a diffeomorphism, find the density of $T\#m$ with respect to Lebesgue measure, i.e., find a function f such that $d(T\#m) = f dm$.

- (Lebesgue measure = n -dimensional Hausdorff measure)

- Prove that the n -dimensional Hausdorff measure of an n -dimensional cube is finite and positive.
- Conclude that Hausdorff measure agrees with Lebesgue measure up to a constant factor. What is the value of the constant?

Hint: Use translations and dilations. To simplify the argument, modify the definition of Hausdorff measure to use coverings by balls,

$$H_n(A) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left(\inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_j^n : A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{r_j}(a_j), r_i < \delta, a_j \in X \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \right).$$

- Show that \mathbb{Q} is an F_σ but not a G_δ -subset of \mathbb{R} . (*Hint:* Use the Baire Category Theorem.)

Remark: This implies that the irrationals $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ cannot be written as a union of countably many compact sets. Also, there is no measurable function f that is continuous precisely on

\mathbb{Q} , because $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is continuous at } x\}$ is a G_δ -set. To see this, note that the C is the zero set of the **oscillation**

$$\text{osc}_f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y, z \in (x-r, x+r)} |f(y) - f(z)|.$$

5. (Folland 3.17) Let (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) be a probability space, and let ν be the restriction of μ to a sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

(a) Given $f \in L^1(\mu)$, show that there exists a unique $g \in L^1(\nu)$ such that

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_A g d\nu$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{N}$.

Remark: In probability theory, g is called the **conditional expectation** of f on \mathcal{N} and denoted by $g = E(f|\mathcal{N})$. Note that we can consider $E(f|\mathcal{N})$ as an element of $L^1(\mu)$; also, if f itself happens to be \mathcal{N} -measurable, then $E(f|\mathcal{N}) = f$. In this notation, setting $A = X$, we obtain the **conditional averaging** formula

$$E(f) = E(E(f|\mathcal{N})).$$

(b) For example, on \mathbb{R} with Lebesgue measure, compute $g = E(f|\mathcal{N})$ where

$$\mathcal{N} = \{A \in \mathcal{M} : x \in A \Leftrightarrow -x \in A\}$$

is the σ -algebra of symmetric measurable sets.

(c) If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$ is the product σ -algebra on a product space $X = X_1 \times X_2$, where $(X_1, \mathcal{M}_1, \mu_1)$ and $(X_2, \mathcal{M}_2, \mu_2)$ spaces, and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \{0, X_2\}$ is the σ -algebra of vertical strips, find a formula for $E(f|\mathcal{N})$.

Hint: Think of the Fubini-Tonelli theorem.

6. (Hausdorff metric vs. Nikodym metric) Let K be a compact set. For $\delta > 0$, the sets

$$K_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : d(x, K) \leq \delta\}$$

are called the **(outer) parallel sets** of K . Prove that a sequence of non-empty compact sets $(K_j)_{j \geq 1}$ converges to a compact set L in Hausdorff metric, if and only if the parallel sets of K_j converge in symmetric difference to the corresponding parallel sets of L , i.e.,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} m((K_j)_\delta \triangle L_\delta) = 0$$

for all $\delta > 0$.

Remark: But note that the two metrics are not comparable: Finite sets are dense in the space of compact sets (with the Hausdorff metric); in particular, every compact set of positive measure is the Hausdorff limit of finite sets (having measure zero). Worse, a typical compact set has Lebesgue measure zero by the Baire Category Theorem. On the other hand, the Hausdorff distance between two distinct non-empty compact sets of measure zero is strictly positive.