Errors and omissions: Rearrangement inequalities

March 2010

• **Definiton of** f^* , **p. 3:** The equation should read

$$f^*(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{X}_{\{y:f(y)>t\}^*}(x) \, dt$$

Similarly, Eq. (1.3) on p. 4 should read

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{X}_{\{y:f(y)>t\}}(x) \, dt$$

• Exercise 1.7, p.6: This really needs a hint, and perhaps a sketch. One could add the formula

$$||f - g||_p^p \le \int_0^\infty \mu(\{f > t\} \bigtriangleup \{g > t\}) \, pt^{p-1} \, dt$$

Perhaps as in Exercise 1.6 one should also consider increasing functions of f and g.

• Exercise 2.14, p. 20: I had intended this as an application of the approximation by polarization.

One may also prove directly that symmetrization improves the modulus of continuity, by using the Brunn-Minkowski inequality to show that the minimal distance between level sets increases.

(Thanks to Piotr Hajlasz.)

- p. 25, after Eq. (3.2): Missing period after "dilations".
- Fig. 3.2, p. 27: In the top row, the pictures on the left and right should show the function concentrated near the south pole (not the north pole), see Fig. 3.1 on p. 26. (*Thanks to Marc Fortier.*)
- After Section 3.2, p. 31: Add new section on random symmetrizations. Discuss results of Mani-Levitska, van Schaftingen, Volčič.

Proof of Theorem 4.7, p. 37: For p = 1, the proof by polarization is not complete. We need to show that the weak limit g is in W^{1,p} (not just in BV), i.e., |∇g| is an integrable function (not just a measure). We need to use the concentration inequality from Exercise 4.9. (*Thanks to Etienne Sandier.*)

p. 38, top: The fact that the volume of the set of critical points can only decrease under symmetrization requires proof. This can be done as follows: If $f \in W^{1,p}$, then the critical values of f^* form a set of (one-dimensional) measure zero. By Lieb & Loss, Theorem 6.19, the gradient of f vanishes on the inverse image of that set. Since f and f^* are equimeasurable, the volume of the set of critical points of f is at least as large as for f^* . In dimension n > 1, the inequality can be strict; Almgren and Lieb characterized the functions for which this can happen in their big paper on the (dis)-continuity of symmetrization. However, Steiner symmetrization preserves the measure of the set of critical points. (*Thanks to Yannick Privat.*)

- Section 5.2, p. 44: Need to add reference to Gromov, and discuss recent results of Fi-galli/Maggi/Pratelli.
- After Section 5.3, p. 47: Add section on Barthe's proof of Young's inequality.
- Add chapter: Domination and weak convergence.
- Add chapter: Equality cases and stability results.