

# MATD01 Fields and Groups

## Assignment 4

### Solutions

1. Statements (h), (i), (p), and (s) are false (Question 7 gives a counter-example for (h) and (i) and counter-examples for (p) and (s) are given in the hints). All other statements are true.

Simpler counter-example for (h): Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $a = x$ . Then  $x$  is irreducible but  $(x)$  is not maximal (as  $(x) \subsetneq (2, x) \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ). Note that this is not a counter example for (i) (why?).

2. Since  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime, there are  $r, s \in R$  such that  $ar + bs = 1$ . Then  $car + cbs = c$ . Now  $ab$  divides both  $car$  and  $cbs$  (why?), so it also divides  $c$ .

3. Consider

$$\Gamma : \text{Hom}(R[x], S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(R, S) \times S$$

defined by

$$\Gamma(\phi) = (\phi|_R, \phi(x))$$

(where  $\phi|_R$  is the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $R$  - see Statement (b) of Question 1). We claim that  $\Gamma$  is a bijection. Indeed, for injectivity note that if  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{Hom}(R[x], S)$  and  $\Gamma(\phi_1) = \Gamma(\phi_2)$ , then for every  $\sum_i r_i x^i \in R[x]$ ,

$$\phi_1\left(\sum_i r_i x^i\right) = \sum_i \phi_1(r_i) \phi_1(x)^i \stackrel{\text{why?}}{=} \sum_i \phi_2(r_i) \phi_2(x)^i = \phi_2\left(\sum_i r_i x^i\right),$$

so that  $\phi_1 = \phi_2$ . For surjectivity, given  $\psi \in \text{Hom}(R, S)$  and  $\alpha \in S$  consider the map  $\phi : R[x] \rightarrow S$  defined by

$$\phi\left(\sum_i r_i x^i\right) = \sum_i \psi(r_i) \alpha^i.$$

Then  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism (as it is the composition of the map  $R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$  given by  $\sum_i r_i x^i \mapsto \sum_i \psi(r_i) x^i$  and the evaluation map  $S[x] \rightarrow S$  given by  $f(x) \mapsto f(\alpha)$ ). Clearly  $\Gamma(\phi) = (\psi, \alpha)$ .

4. First we note that  $I = \{2f(x) + xg(x) : f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$  consists of all polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  whose constant term is even (verify this). Now suppose  $I = (h(x))$  for some  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $h(x) \mid 2$  (why?). Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain, it follows that  $h(x)$  and hence the quotient of 2 in division by  $h(x)$  must be of degree zero. Thus  $h(x) \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2\}$ . If  $f(x) = \pm 1$ , then  $I = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , which is false (as  $3 \notin I$ ). If  $f(x) = \pm 2$ , then every element of  $I = (2)$  must have all coefficients even, which is again false (as  $x \in I$ ).

5. (a)  $x^6 - 1 = (x - 1)(x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 - x + 1)$ . The two polynomials  $x^2 + x + 1$  and  $x^2 - x + 1$  are irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since they don't have any rational roots.

(b) Since  $\omega$  is a root of  $x^6 - 1$ , it must be a root of one of the irreducible factors of  $x^6 - 1$ . Since it is a primitive 6-th root, it cannot be a root of  $x - 1$ ,  $x + 1$ , or  $x^2 + x + 1$  (reason for the last one:  $x^2 + x + 1$  is a factor of  $x^3 - 1$ ). Thus  $\omega$  must be a root of  $x^2 - x + 1$ . Being irreducible and monic, thus  $x^2 - x + 1$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\omega$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

(c) The kernel is the ideal  $(x^2 - x + 1)$ . (See last week's Question 3(b).)

(d) Note that  $\omega^3 = -1$ , as  $(\omega^3)^2 = 1$  and  $\omega^3 \neq 1$ . Thus (by the hypotheses on  $m, n$ ) we have  $f(\omega) = \omega^2 - \omega + 1 = 0$ . It follows that  $x^2 - x + 1 \mid f(x)$  (why?). The hypotheses on  $m, n$  also imply that  $f(x)$  must have degree larger than 2.

6. We will outline the procedure, leaving the calculations to the reader. Use Euclid's algorithm to find  $h(x), k(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that

$$g(x)h(x) + f(x)k(x) = 1.$$

(Such  $h(x)$  and  $k(x)$  exist because  $g(x)$  is nonzero with  $\deg(g(x)) < \deg(f(x))$ , so that  $f(x)$  cannot divide  $g(x)$ . Since  $f(x)$  is irreducible, it follows that  $\gcd(f(x), g(x)) = 1$ .) Then in the quotient  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(f(x))$ ,

$$\overline{g(x)} \cdot \overline{h(x)} = 1$$

(why?), so that  $\overline{h(x)} = \overline{g(x)}^{-1}$ . Answer:  $\overline{g(x)}^{-1} = \overline{x^5 + x^4 + x + 1}$ .

7. (a) Let  $S = \{a + b\sqrt{-D} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . It is clear that  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$ , so that we need to show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}] \subset S$ . Note that  $S$  is closed under taking  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations (i.e. if  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ , then  $a\alpha + b\beta \in S$  for every  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Thus it is enough to show that  $\sqrt{-D}^m \in S$  for every  $m \geq 0$ . We show this by induction on  $m$ . The base case  $m = 0$  is clear. Suppose  $\sqrt{-D}^m \in S$  for some  $m \geq 0$ . Thus there are integers  $a, b$  such that  $\sqrt{-D}^m = a + b\sqrt{-D}$ . Then

$$\sqrt{-D}^{m+1} = \sqrt{-D}\sqrt{-D}^m = \sqrt{-D}(a + b\sqrt{-D}) = -Db + a\sqrt{-D} \in S.$$

(b) Define the function  $N : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  (called the norm function) by

$$N(a + b\sqrt{-D}) = a^2 + Db^2 \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that here we are using the fact that any element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  can be *uniquely* expressed in the form  $a + b\sqrt{-D}$  with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, 1 and  $\sqrt{-D}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (i.e.  $a + b\sqrt{-D} = 0$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  implies  $a, b = 0$ ), for  $\sqrt{-D}$  is not rational. We leave it to the reader to check that  $N(\alpha\beta) = N(\alpha)N(\beta)$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  (just write  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-D}$  and  $\beta = c + d\sqrt{-D}$  and compute both sides). Also it is clear that  $N(\alpha) \geq 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$ , and  $N(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]^\times$ . Then  $N(\alpha)N(\alpha^{-1}) = N(\alpha\alpha^{-1}) = N(1) = 1$ . Since  $N$  takes values in the non-negative integers, it follows that  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . Conversely, if  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{-D} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  has norm 1, then

$$1 = N(\alpha) = a^2 + Db^2 = (a + b\sqrt{-D})(a - b\sqrt{-D}),$$

so that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]^\times$ . Thus the units of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  are exactly the elements of norm 1.

Since  $D > 0$ , the only solutions  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  to  $a^2 + Db^2 = 1$  are  $(a, b) = (\pm 1, 0)$  if  $D > 1$  and  $(a, b) = (\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$  if  $D = 1$ . Thus the only elements of norm 1 (= units) in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  are  $\pm 1$  if  $D > 1$  and  $\pm 1, \pm i$  if  $D = 1$ .

**Remark:** Let  $D < 0$  with  $-D$  a non-square (note: if  $-D$  is a square then  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}] = \mathbb{Z}$ ). One defines the norm function similarly. This time  $N(a + b\sqrt{-D}) = a^2 + Db^2$  can be negative. The units of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  are exactly the elements of norm  $\pm 1$ . One can show that the group of units of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$  contains an infinite cyclic group in this case. (The equation  $a^2 + Db^2 = 1$  with  $D < 0$  has infinitely many solutions. Read about Pell's equation on Wikipedia.)

(c) Firstly, 2 is not a unit by (b). Now suppose  $2 = (a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5})$  for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Taking norms we see that

$$(a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2) = 4.$$

Since  $2 \neq k^2 + 5\ell^2$  for any  $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  (only need to look at  $\ell = 0$ ,  $k = \pm 1$ , as otherwise  $k^2 + 5\ell^2 > 2$ ), either we must have  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$  or  $c^2 + 5d^2 = 1$ . This implies that either  $a + b\sqrt{-5}$  or  $c + d\sqrt{-5}$  is a unit.

(d) For the first assertion note that  $(1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}) = 6 = 2 \cdot 3$ . For the second assertion, note that if  $2 \mid a + b\sqrt{-5}$  then both  $a$  and  $b$  must be even (why?).

(e) No. In a PID, the ideal generated by an irreducible element is prime. We saw above that 2 is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , but that the ideal  $(2)$  is not prime (as it contains  $(1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$ , but it neither contains  $1 + \sqrt{-5}$  nor  $1 - \sqrt{-5}$ ).