



Tangles in a Pole Dance Studio: A Reading of Massuyeau, Alekseev, and Naef

Preliminary Definitions. Fix $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}$. Let $D_p := D^2 \setminus (p \text{ pts})$, and let the **Pole Dance Studio** be $PDS_p := D_p \times I$.



Abstract. I will report on joint work with Zsuzsanna Dancso, Tamara Hogan, Jessica Liu, and Nancy Scherich. Little of what we do is original, and much of it is simply a reading of Massuyeau [Ma] and Alekseev and Naef [AN1].



We study the pole-strand and strand-strand double filtration on the space of tangles in a pole dance studio (a punctured disk cross an interval), the corresponding homomorphic expansions, and a strand-only HOMFLY-PT relation. When the strands are transparent or nearly transparent to each other we recover and perhaps simplify substantial parts of the work of the aforementioned authors on expansions for the Goldman-Turaev Lie bi-algebra.



Jessica, Nancy, Tamara, Zsuzsi, & Dror in PDS₃

Definitions. Let $\pi := FG\langle X_1, \dots, X_p \rangle$ be the free group (of deformation classes of based curves in D_p), $\bar{\pi}$ be the framed free group (deformation classes of based immersed curves), $|\pi|$ and $|\bar{\pi}|$ denote \mathbb{F} -linear combinations of cyclic words ($|x_i w| = |w x_i|$, unbased curves), $A := FA\langle x_1, \dots, x_p \rangle$ be the free associative algebra, and let $|A| := A/(x_i w = w x_i)$ denote cyclic algebra words.



Theorem 1 (Goldman, Turaev, Massuyeau, Alekseev, Kawazumi, Kuno, Naef). $|\bar{\pi}|$ and $|A|$ are Lie bialgebras, and there is a “homomorphic expansion” $W: |\bar{\pi}| \rightarrow |A|$: a morphism of Lie bialgebras with $W(|X_i|) = 1 + |x_i| + \dots$

Further Definitions. • $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_0 = \mathcal{K}_0^0 = \mathcal{K}(S) := \mathbb{F}\langle \text{framed tangles in } PDS_p \rangle$.
• $\mathcal{K}_i^s := (\text{the image via } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{Z} \text{ of tangles in } PDS_p \text{ that have } t \text{ double points, of which } s \text{ are strand-strand}).$



E.g., $\mathcal{K}_5^2(\bigcirc) = \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram with 5 crossings and 2 strand-strand double points} \end{array} \right\rangle / \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{Z}$
• $\mathcal{K}^s := \mathcal{K}/\mathcal{K}^s$. Most important, $\mathcal{K}^1(\bigcirc) = |\bar{\pi}|$, and there is $P: \mathcal{K}(\bigcirc) \rightarrow |\bar{\pi}|$.
• $\mathcal{A} := \prod \mathcal{K}_i/\mathcal{K}_{i+1}$, $\mathcal{A}^s := \prod \mathcal{K}_i^s/\mathcal{K}_{i+1}^s \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^s := \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^s$.

Fact 1. The Kontsevich Integral is an “expansion” $Z: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, compatible with several noteworthy structures.

Fact 2 (Le-Murakami, [LM1]). Z satisfies the strand-strand HOMFLY-PT relations: It descends to $Z_H: \mathcal{K}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_H$, where

$$\mathcal{K}_H := \mathcal{K} / \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 1} \\ \text{Diagram 2} \end{array} = (e^{h/2} - e^{-h/2}) \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 3} \\ \text{Diagram 4} \end{array} \right)$$
$$\mathcal{A}_H := \mathcal{A} / \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 5} \\ \text{Diagram 6} \end{array} = \hbar \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 7} \\ \text{Diagram 8} \end{array} \text{ or } \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 9} \\ \text{Diagram 10} \end{array} = \hbar \cdot \begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram 11} \\ \text{Diagram 12} \end{array} \right)$$

and $\deg \hbar = (1, 1)$.

Proof of Fact 2. $Z(\mathcal{X}) - Z(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{X} \cdot (e^{h/2} - e^{-h/2}) \cdot \mathcal{Z}$
 $= \mathcal{X} \cdot (e^{h/2} - e^{-h/2}) \cdot \mathcal{Z} = (e^{h/2} - e^{-h/2}) \mathcal{Z}$. \square



Le, Murakami

Other Passions. With Roland van der Veen, I use “solvable approximation” and “Perturbed Gaussian Differential Operators” to unveil simple, strong, fast to compute, and topologically meaningful knot invariants near the Alexander polynomial. (\subset polymath!)




Key 1. $W: |\bar{\pi}| \rightarrow |A|$ is $Z_H^1: \mathcal{K}_H^1(\bigcirc) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_H^1(\bigcirc)$.
Key 2 (Schematic). Suppose $\lambda_0, \lambda_1: |\bar{\pi}| \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\bigcirc)$ are two ways of lifting plane curves into knots in PDS_p (namely, $P \circ \lambda_i = I$). Then for $\gamma \in |\bar{\pi}|$,
Lemma 1. “Division by \hbar ” is well-defined.

$$\eta(\gamma) := (\lambda_0(\gamma) - \lambda_1(\gamma))/\hbar \in \mathcal{K}_H^1(\bigcirc\bigcirc) = |\bar{\pi}| \otimes |\bar{\pi}|$$
$$\eta^q(\alpha) := (\lambda_0^q(\alpha) - \lambda_1^q(\alpha))/\hbar \in \mathcal{A}_H^1(\bigcirc\bigcirc) = |A| \otimes |A|$$


and we get an operation η on plane curves. If Kontsevich likes λ_0 and λ_1 (namely if there are λ_i^q with $Z^2(\lambda_i(\gamma)) = \lambda_i^q(W(\gamma))$), then η will have a compatible algebraic companion η^q :

For indeed, in \mathcal{A}_H^2 we have $\hbar W(\eta(\gamma)) = \hbar Z(\eta(\gamma)) = Z(\lambda_0(\gamma)) - Z(\lambda_1(\gamma)) = \lambda_0^q(W(\gamma)) - \lambda_1^q(W(\gamma)) = \hbar \eta^q(W(\gamma))$.


Example 1. With $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in |\bar{\pi}|$ (or $|\bar{\pi}|$) set $\lambda_0(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_2$ and $\lambda_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \tilde{\gamma}_2 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_1$ where $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ are arbitrary lifts of γ_i . Then η_1 is the Goldman bracket! Note that here λ_0 and λ_1 are not well-defined, yet η_1 is.




Example 2. With $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \pi$ (or $\bar{\pi}$) and with λ_0, λ_1 as on the right, we get the “double bracket” $\eta_2: \pi \otimes \pi \rightarrow \pi \otimes \pi$ (or $\bar{\pi} \otimes \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \otimes \bar{\pi}$).



Example 3. With $\gamma \in \bar{\pi}$ and $\lambda_0(\gamma)$ its ascending realization as a bottom tangle and $\lambda_1(\gamma)$ its descending realization as a bottom tangle, we get $\eta_3: \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \otimes |\bar{\pi}|$. Closing the first component and anti-symmetrizing, this is the Turaev cobracket.



Example 4 [Ma]. With $\gamma \in \bar{\pi}$ and $\lambda_0(\gamma)$ its ascending outer double and $\lambda_1(\gamma)$ its ascending inner double we get $\eta_4: \bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi} \otimes \bar{\pi}$. After some massaging, it too becomes the Turaev cobracket.



The rest is essentially **Exercises**: 1. Lemma 1? 2. $\mathcal{A}^?$ 3. Fact 2? 4. \mathcal{A}^1 ? Especially, $\mathcal{A}^1(\bigcirc) \cong |A|!$ 5. Explain why Kontsevich likes our λ 's. 6. Figure out $\eta_i^q, i = 1, \dots, 4$.