Dror Bar-Natan: Talks: McGill-1702: Joint with Roland van der Veen What else can you do with solvable approximations?

Abstract. Recently, Roland van der Veen and myself found that Chern-Simons-Witten. Given a knot $\gamma(t)$ in there are sequences of solvable Lie algebras "converging" to any \mathbb{R}^3 and a metrized Lie algebra g, set $Z(\gamma) :=$ given semi-simple Lie algebra (such as sl_2 or sl_3 or E8). Certain computations are much easier in solvable Lie algebras; in particular, using solvable approximations we can compute in polynomial time certain projections (originally discussed by Rozansky) of the knot invariants arising from the Chern-Simons-Witten topological quantum field theory. This provides us with the first strong knot invariants that are computable for truly large knots.

But sl_2 and sl_3 and similar algebras occur in physics (and in mathematics) in many other places, beyond the Chern-Simons-Witten theory. Do solvable approximations have further applications?

Recomposing gl_n . Half is enough! $gl_n \oplus \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathcal{D}(\nabla, b, \delta)$:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ &$$

Now define $g_{\ell_n}^{\ell_n} \coloneqq \mathcal{D}(\nabla, b, \epsilon \delta)$. Schematically, this is $[\nabla, \nabla] = \nabla$, riants" arise in this way. So for the trefoil, $[\triangle, \triangle] = \epsilon \triangle$, and $[\neg, \triangle] = \triangle + \epsilon \neg$. In detail, it is

$$\int_{A \in \Omega^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3}, g)} \mathcal{D}A e^{ik cs(A)} PExp_{\gamma}(A),$$
where $cs(A) := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} tr\left(AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^{3}\right)$ and
$$PExp_{\gamma}(A) := \prod_{0}^{1} exp(\gamma^{*}A) \in \mathcal{U} = \hat{\mathcal{U}}(g),$$
and $\mathcal{U}(g) := \langle \text{words in } g \rangle / (xy - yx = [x, y])$

ſ

/]). In a favourable gauge, one may hope that this computation will localize near the crossings and the bends, and all will depend on just two quantities,

$$R = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{U}} a_i \otimes b_i \in \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad C \in \mathcal{U}.$$

This was never done formally, yet *R* and

can be "guessed" and all "quantum knot inva-

$$Z = \sum_{i,j,k} Ca_i b_j a_k C^2 b_i a_j b_k C.$$

Gompf, Sc

 $[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} e_{il} - \delta_{li} e_{kj} \quad [f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = \epsilon \delta_{jk} f_{il} - \epsilon \delta_{li} f_{kj}$ $\left[e_{ij}, f_{kl}\right] = \delta_{jk} (\epsilon \delta_{j < k} e_{il} + \delta_{il} (h_i + \epsilon g_i)/2 + \delta_{i > l} f_{il})$ But Z lives in \mathcal{U} , a complicated space. How do you extract infor- $-\delta_{li}(\epsilon \delta_{k < j} e_{kj} + \delta_{kj}(h_j + \epsilon g_j)/2 + \delta_{k > j} f_{kj})$ mation out of it? $[g_i, e_{jk}] = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})e_{jk}$ $[h_i, e_{jk}] = \epsilon(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})e_{jk}$ $[h_i, e_{jk}] = \epsilon(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})e_{jk}$ Solution 1, Representation Theory. Choose a finite dimensional $[g_i, f_{jk}] = (\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})f_{jk}$ $[h_i, f_{jk}] = \epsilon(\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik})f_{jk}$ representation ρ of \mathfrak{g} in some vector space V. By luck and the

Solvable Approximation. At $\epsilon = 1$ and modulo h = g, the above wisdom of Drinfel'd and Jimbo, $\rho(R) \in V^* \otimes V \otimes V$ and is just gl_n . By rescaling at $\epsilon \neq 0$, gl_n^{ϵ} is independent of ϵ . We $\rho(C) \in V^* \otimes V$ are computable, so Z is computable too. But in let gl_n^k be gl_n^{ϵ} regarded as an algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[\epsilon]/\epsilon^{k+1} = 0$. It is the exponential time! "k-smidgen solvable approximation" of gl_n! Ribbon=Slice?

Recall that g is "solvable" if iterated commutators in it ultimately vanish: $g_2 := [g, g], g_3 := [g_2, g_2], \dots, g_d = 0$. Equivalently, if it is a subalgebra of some large-size \bigtriangledown algebra.

Note. This whole process makes sense for arbitrary semi-simple Lie algebras.

Why are "solvable algebras" any good? Contrary to common beliefs, computations in semi-simple Lie algebras are just awful:

$$\ln[1] = \operatorname{MatrixExp}\left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right] // \operatorname{FullSimplify} // \operatorname{MatrixForm} \quad \underline{Enter}$$

 $z = \log(e^x e^y)$, is bearable: Out[2]//MatrixF



Chern-Simons-Witten theory is often "solved" using ideas from tangle T can be written in the form conformal field theory and using quantization of various moduli spaces. Does it make sense to use solvable approximation there too? Elsewhere in physics? Elsewhere in mathematics?

See Also. Talks at George Washington University [ωεβ/gwu], Indiana $[\omega \epsilon \beta/ind]$, and Les Diablerets $[\omega \epsilon \beta/ld]$, and a University is poly-time computable. of Toronto "Algebraic Knot Theory" class [ωεβ/akt].

111

С

Solution 2, Solvable Approximation. Work directly in $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(\mathfrak{g}_k)$, where $g_k = sl_2^k$ (or a similar algebra); everything is expressible using low-degree polynomials in a small number of variables, hence everything is poly-time computable!

Example 0. Take $g_0 = sl_2^0 = \mathbb{Q}\langle h, e, l, f \rangle$, with h central and Yet in solvable algebras, exponentiation is fine and even BCH, [f, l] = f, [e, l] = -e, [e, f] = h. In it, using normal orderings,

$$R = \mathbb{O}\left(\exp\left(hl + \frac{e^{h} - 1}{h}ef\right) \mid e \otimes lf\right), \text{ and,}$$
$$\mathbb{O}\left(e^{\delta ef} \mid fe\right) = \mathbb{O}\left(\nu e^{\nu \delta ef} \mid ef\right) \text{ with } \nu = (1 + h\delta)^{-1}.$$

Example 1. Take $R = \mathbb{Q}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2 = 0)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}_2^1 = R\langle h, e, l, f \rangle$, with h central and [f, l] = f, [e, l] = -e, $[e, f] = \tilde{h} - 2\epsilon l$. In it, $\mathbb{O}\left(\mathbb{e}^{\delta ef} \mid f e\right) = \mathbb{O}\left(\nu(1 + \epsilon \nu \delta \Lambda/2) \mathbb{e}^{\nu \delta ef} \mid elf\right), \text{ where } \Lambda \text{ is}$

 $4v^{3}\delta^{2}e^{2}f^{2} + 3v^{3}\delta^{3}he^{2}f^{2} + 8v^{2}\delta ef + 4v^{2}\delta^{2}hef + 4v\delta elf - 2v\delta h + 4l.$ Question. What else can you do with solvable approximation? Fact. Setting $h_i = h$ (for all *i*) and $t = e^h$, the g_1 invariant of any

$$Z_{g_1}(T) = \mathbb{O}\left(\omega^{-1} \mathbb{e}^{hL + \omega^{-1}Q} (1 + \epsilon \omega^{-4}P) \mid \bigotimes_i e_i l_i f_i\right),$$

where L is linear, Q quadratic, and P quartic in the $\{e_i, l_i, f_i\}$ with ω and all coefficients polynomials in t. Furthermore, everything

Video and more at http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/McGill-1702/

 $R^{\pm 1}$