http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/CMU-1504/ Dror Bar-Natan: Talks: CMU-1504:

Abstract. The commutator of two elements *x* and *y* in a group *G* is $xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. That is, *x* followed by *y* followed by the inverse of *x* followed by the inverse of *y*. In my talk I will tell you how commutators are related to the following four riddles:

- 1. Can you send a secure message to a person you have never communicated with before (neither privately nor publicly), using a messenger you do not trust?
- 2. Can you hang a picture on a string on the wall using *n* nails, so that if you remove any one of them, the picture will fall?
- 3. Can you draw an *n*-component link (a knot made of *n* nonintersecting circles) so that if you remove any one of those *n* components, the remaining (n - 1) will fall apart?
- 4. Can you solve the quintic in radicals? Is there a formula for the zeros of a degree 5 polynomial in terms of its coefficients, using only the operations on a scientific calculator?

Definition. The commutator of two elements *x* and *y* in a group *G* is $[x, y] := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

Example 1. In S_3 , $[(12), (23)] = (12)(23)(12)^{-1}(23)^{-1} = (123)$ and in general in $S_{\geq 3}$,

$$[(ij), (jk)] = (ijk).$$

Example 2. In $S_{\geq 4}$,

$$[(ijk), (jkl)] = (ijk)(jkl)(ijk)^{-1}(jkl)^{-1} = (il)(jk).$$

Example 3. In $S_{\geq 5}$,

$$[(ijk), (klm)] = (ijk)(klm)(ijk)^{-1}(klm)^{-1} = (jkm).$$

Example 4. So, in fact, in S_5 , (123) = [(412), (253)] = [[(341), (152)], [(125), (543)]] = [[[(234), (451)], [(315), (542)]], [[(312), (245)], [(154), (423)]]] = [[[[(123), (354)], [(245), (531)]], [[(231), (145)], [(154), (432)]]], [[[(431), (152)], [(124), (435)]], [[(215), (534)], [(142), (253)]]]].

Solving the Quadratic, $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$: $\delta = \sqrt{\Delta}$; $\Delta = b^2 - 4ac$; $r = \frac{\delta - b}{2a}$.

Solving the Cubic, $ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d = 0$: $\Delta = 27a^2d^2 - 18abcd + 4ac^3 + 4b^3d - b^2c^2$; $\delta = \sqrt{\Delta}$; $\Gamma = 27a^2d - 9abc + 3\sqrt{3}a\delta + 2b^3$; $\gamma = \sqrt[3]{\frac{\Gamma}{2}}$; $r = -\frac{\frac{b^2-3ac}{\gamma}+b+\gamma}{3a}$.

Solving the Quartic, $ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx + e = 0$: $\Delta_0 = 12ae - 3bd + c^2$; $\Delta_1 = -72ace + 27ad^2 + 27b^2e - 9bcd + 2c^3$; $\Delta_2 = \frac{1}{27} \left(\Delta_1^2 - 4\Delta_0^3 \right)$; $u = \frac{8ac - 3b^2}{8a^2}$; $v = \frac{8a^2d - 4abc + b^3}{8a^3}$; $\delta_2 = \sqrt{\Delta_2}$; $Q = \frac{1}{2} \left(3\sqrt{3}\delta_2 + \Delta_1 \right)$; $q = \sqrt[3]{Q}$; $S = \frac{\frac{\Delta_0}{q} + q}{12a} - \frac{u}{6}$; $s = \sqrt{S}$; $\Gamma = -\frac{v}{s} - 4S - 2u$; $\gamma = \sqrt{\Gamma}$; $r = -\frac{b}{4a} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + s$.

Theorem. The is no general formula, using only the basic arithmetic operations and taking roots, for the solution of the quintic equation $ax^5 + bx^4 + cx^3 + dx^2 + ex + f = 0$.

Key Point. The "persistent root" of a closed path (path lift, in topological language) may not be closed, yet the persistent root of a commutators of closed paths is always closed.

Proof. Suppose there was a formula, and consider the corresponding "composition of machines" picture:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & & & \\ \lambda_{2} & & \\ \lambda_{3} & \lambda_{4} \\ & \lambda_{5} & \lambda_{4} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C} \begin{bmatrix} a & e & & \\ & c & \\ & & \\ b & f \\ & &$$

Now if $\gamma_1^{(1)}, \gamma_2^{(1)}, \dots, \gamma_{16}^{(1)}$, are paths in X_0 that induce permutations of the roots and we set $\gamma_1^{(2)} \coloneqq [\gamma_1^{(1)}, \gamma_2^{(1)}], \gamma_2^{(2)} \coloneqq [\gamma_3^{(1)}, \gamma_4^{(1)}], \dots, \gamma_8^{(2)} \coloneqq [\gamma_{15}^{(2)}, \gamma_{16}^{(1)}], \gamma_1^{(3)} \coloneqq [\gamma_1^{(2)}, \gamma_2^{(2)}], \dots, \gamma_4^{(3)} \coloneqq [\gamma_7^{(2)}, \gamma_8^{(2)}], \gamma_1^{(4)} \coloneqq [\gamma_1^{(3)}, \gamma_2^{(3)}], \gamma_2^{(4)} \coloneqq [\gamma_3^{(3)}, \gamma_4^{(3)}], \text{ and finally } \gamma^{(5)} \coloneqq [\gamma_1^{(4)}, \gamma_2^{(4)}] \text{ (all of those, commutators of "long paths"; I don't know the word "homotopy"), then <math>\gamma^{(5)} / / C / / R_1 / ... / R_4$ is a closed path. Indeed,

- In X_0 , none of the paths is necessarily closed.
- After *C*, all of the paths are closed.
- After P_1 , all of the paths are still closed.
- After R_1 , the $\gamma^{(1)}$'s may open up, but the $\gamma^{(2)}$'s remain closed.

• At the end, after R_4 , $\gamma^{(4)}$'s may open up, but $\gamma^{(5)}$ remains closed.

But if the paths are chosen as in Example 4, $\gamma^{(5)} / / C / / P_1 / / R_1 / \cdots / / R_4$ is not a closed path.

References. V.I. Arnold, 1960s, hard to locate.

V.B. Alekseev, Abel's Theorem in Problems and Solutions, Based on the Lecture of Professor V.I. Arnold, Kluwer 2004. A. Khovanskii, Topological Galois Theory, Solvability and Unsolvability of Equations in Finite Terms, Springer 2014. B. Katz, Short Proof of Abel's Theorem that 5th Degree Polynomial Equations Cannot be Solved, YouTube video, http://youtu.be/RhpVSV6iCko.



Commutators