

Meta-Groups, Meta-Bicrossed-Products, and the Alexander Polynomial, 1


Dror Bar-Natan at Sheffield, February 2013.

<http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/Sheffield-130206/>



Abstract. I will define “meta-groups” and explain how one specific meta-group, which in itself is a “meta-bicrossed-product”, gives rise to an “ultimate Alexander invariant” of tangles, that contains the Alexander polynomial (multivariable, if you wish), has extremely good composition properties, is evaluated in a topologically meaningful way, and is least-wasteful in a computational sense. If you believe in categorification, that’s a wonderful playground.


This work is closely related to work by Le Dimet (Comment. Math. Helv. 67 (1992) 306–315), Kirk, Livingston and Wang (arXiv:math/9806035) and Cimasoni and Turaev (arXiv:math.GT/0406269).



Alexander Issues.


- Quick to compute, but computation departs from topology.
- Extends to tangles, but at an exponential cost.
- Hard to categorify.

Idea. Given a group G and two “YB” pairs $R^\pm = (g_o^\pm, g_u^\pm) \in G^2$, map them to xings and “multiply along”, so that




This Fails! R2 implies that $g_o^\pm g_o^\mp = e = g_u^\pm g_u^\mp$ and then R3 implies that g_o^+ and g_u^+ commute, so the result is a simple counting invariant.

A Group Computer. Given G , can store group elements and perform operations on them:



Also has S_x for inversion, e_x for unit insertion, d_x for register deletion, Δ_{xy}^z for element cloning, ρ_y^x for renamings, and $(D_1, D_2) \mapsto D_1 \cup D_2$ for merging, and many obvious composition axioms relating those.

$$P = \{x : g_1, y : g_2\} \Rightarrow P = \{d_y P\} \cup \{d_x P\}$$



A Standard Alexander Formula. Label the arcs 1 through $(n + 1) = 1$, make an $n \times n$ matrix as below, delete one row and one column, and compute the determinant:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \\ + \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ a \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c|cc} & a & b & c \\ \hline c & -1 & 1-X & X \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \nwarrow \\ \nearrow \\ - \end{array} \begin{array}{c} b \\ a \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c|cc} & a & b & c \\ \hline c & -X & X-1 & 1 \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x-1 & 0 & -x \\ -1 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-x & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & x & 0 & 1-x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x-1 & 0 & -x & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1-x & 0 & -1 & x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x & 1 & 0 & x-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-x & 0 & 0 & -1 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x-1 & 0 & 0 & -x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad [[1 \ ; \ ; \ 7, \ 1 \ ; \ ; \ 7]] \ // \ Det$$

$$-1 + 4x - 8x^2 + 11x^3 - 8x^4 + 4x^5 - x^6$$

Claim. From a meta-group G and YB elements $R^\pm \in G_2$ we can construct a knot/tangle invariant.

Bicrossed Products. If $G = HT$ is a group presented as a product of two of its subgroups, with $H \cap T = \{e\}$, then also $G = TH$ and G is determined by H, T , and the “swap” map $sw^{th} : (t, h) \mapsto (h', t')$ defined by $th = h't'$. The map sw satisfies (1) and (2) below; conversely, if $sw : T \times H \rightarrow H \times T$ satisfies (1) and (2) (+ lesser conditions), then (3) defines a group structure on $H \times T$, the “bicrossed product”.

