

References: Mirković - Vilonen (2007) (MV)

Baumann - Riche (2017) (BR)

Beilinson - Drinfel'd preprint 'Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves'

Pramod's Book

Notation:

G complex connected reductive group

G^\vee Langlands dual group

k field of char 0

Note: Can do this for k commutative Noetherian ring of finite gl-dim which is what MV does

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[\bar{I} + \mathbb{D}], \mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((\bar{I})), G_r = G^{(1)} / G(\mathcal{O})$$

Geometric Satake Equivalence (MV, 2007):

$$P_{G(\mathcal{O})}(G_r, k) \cong \text{Rep}_k(G^\vee) \text{ as tensor categories}$$

Tannakian Formalism

Theorem: \mathcal{C} abelian k -linear category equipped with:

- exact k -linear faithful functor

$$F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k \text{ (fiber functor)}$$

- k -bilinear functor $\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (tensor product)

$$U \in \mathcal{C} \text{ (unit)}$$

$$\phi_{X,Y,Z}: X \otimes (Y \otimes Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \text{ natural in } X, Y, Z$$

$$\lambda_X: U \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes U: \text{natural in } X$$

$$\alpha_{X,Y}: X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X \text{ natural in } X \text{ and } Y$$

$$\varepsilon_{X,Y}: F(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(X) \otimes F(Y) \text{ natural in } X \text{ and } Y$$

s.t. • $F(\phi_{X,Y,Z}), F(\lambda_X), F(\rho_X), F(\eta_{X,Y})$ satisfy usual associativity, unit, commutativity conditions

• if $\dim_k(F(X)) = 1$, then $\exists X^* \in \mathcal{C}$ s.t. $X \otimes X^* \cong U$ (guarantee H has inverse, else H affine monoid scheme)

Then \exists affine group scheme H s.t. F admits a canonical factorization

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \text{Rep}_k(H)$$

\downarrow forget Vect_k s.t. \bar{F} equivalence of categories respecting tensor product and unit

Proof: See [BR, Section 2]

Affine Grassmannian Review

$B^+, B^- \subset G$ opposite Borels, $T \subset B$ maximal torus, $N \subset B^+, N \subset B^-$ unipotent radicals
 $X_*(\mathbb{T})$ = lattice of cocharacters
 $X_{\text{dom}}^+(\mathbb{T})$ = dominant cocharacters

For $\mu \in X_*(\mathbb{T})$, let $L_\mu \in \text{Gr}$ be associated point.

For $\lambda \in X_{\text{dom}}^+(\mathbb{T})$, let $\text{Gr}^\lambda := G(\mathbb{O}) L_\lambda$,

We have $\text{Gr} = \coprod_{\lambda \in X_{\text{dom}}^+(\mathbb{T})} \text{Gr}^\lambda$, $\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} = \coprod_{\substack{\mu \in X_*(\mathbb{T}) \\ \mu \leq \lambda}} \text{Gr}^\mu$ (i.e. a stratification)

$$\dim \text{Gr}^\lambda = \langle 2\varphi, \lambda \rangle, \text{ open dense in } \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$$

Iwasawa Decomposition:

For $\mu \in X_*(\mathbb{T})$, let $S_\mu^\pm := N^\pm(K) L_\mu$ semi-infinite orbits

We have $\text{Gr} = \coprod_{\mu \in X_*(\mathbb{T})} S_\mu^+ = \coprod_{\mu \in X_*(\mathbb{T})} S_\mu^-$ $S_\mu^+ = \coprod_{\substack{\nu \in X_*(\mathbb{T}) \\ \nu \leq \mu}} S_\nu^+$, $\overline{S_\mu^+} = \coprod_{\nu \in X_*(\mathbb{T})} S_\nu^-$

$$S_\mu^+ = \{x \in \text{Gr} : \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z \cdot x = L_\mu\}, S_\mu^- = \{x \in \text{Gr} : \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \cdot x = L_\mu\}$$

Let S be stratification by Gr^λ 's.

Theorem: $P_S(\text{Gr}, k)$ is semisimple.

Note: Need $\text{char } k = 0$ here. Not true for $\text{char } k = p$.

Sketch: As Gr^λ simply connected (affine bundles over partial flag varieties), the only simple local system is \underline{k} . Let IC_λ be corresponding IC sheaf.

\Rightarrow simple objects of $P_S(\text{Gr}, k)$ are of the form IC_λ

Then as $P_S(\text{Gr}, k)$ is a finite-length category so suffices to prove:

$$\forall \lambda, \mu \in X_{\text{dom}}^+(\mathbb{T}), \text{Ext}^1(\text{IC}_\lambda, \text{IC}_\mu) = \text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, \text{IC}_\mu[-]) = 0.$$

Case 1: $\lambda = \mu$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Gr}^\lambda & \xhookrightarrow{i_\lambda} & \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} \xhookleftarrow{i_\lambda} \text{Gr} \\ & \searrow i_{\lambda\lambda} & \downarrow i_{\lambda\lambda} \\ & & \text{Gr}_{\lambda\lambda} \end{array}$$

$(i_{\lambda\lambda})^* \text{IC}_\lambda$ is concentrated in negative perverse degrees (IC properties)
 $(i_{\lambda\lambda})_! \text{IC}_\lambda$ " " positive " "

$$\Rightarrow \text{Hom}((i_{\lambda\lambda})^* \text{IC}_\lambda, (i_{\lambda\lambda})_! \text{IC}_\lambda[-]) = 0$$

Apply $\text{Hom}((i_\lambda)_*(-), \text{IC}_\lambda[\bar{1}])$ to triangle

$$j_! j^*(\text{IC}_\lambda|_{\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}}) \rightarrow \text{IC}_\lambda|_{\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}} \rightarrow i_! i^*(\text{IC}_\lambda|_{\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}}) \rightarrow$$

to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}((i_\lambda)_!, (i_\lambda)_!)^* \text{IC}_\lambda, \text{IC}_\lambda[\bar{1}]) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, \text{IC}_\lambda[\bar{1}]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}((j_\lambda)_!, \underline{k}_{\text{Gr}^\lambda}^{[\dim \text{Gr}^\lambda]}, \text{IC}_\lambda[\bar{1}]) \\ &\quad \text{is adjunction} \\ 0 \text{ by adjunction} & \quad \text{is} \\ & \quad \text{Hom}(\underline{k}_{\text{Gr}^\lambda}, \underline{k}_{\text{Gr}^\lambda}[\bar{1}]) \end{aligned}$$

$$H^1(\text{Gr}, k) = 0$$

as Gr^λ is affine line bundle over partial flag variety so $H^1(\text{Gr}) = 0$.

Case 2: $\text{Gr}^\lambda \not\subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\mu}$ and $\text{Gr}^\mu \not\subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } i_\mu: \overline{\text{Gr}^\mu} \hookrightarrow \text{Gr}. \quad \text{IC}_\mu \text{ supported on } \overline{\text{Gr}_\mu} \Rightarrow \text{IC}_\mu = (i_\mu)_* (i_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\mu \\ \Rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, \text{IC}_\mu[\bar{1}]) \cong \text{Hom}((i_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\lambda, (i_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\mu[\bar{1}]) \end{aligned}$$

Let $Z = \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} \cap \overline{\text{Gr}^\mu}$. Let $i_Z: Z \hookrightarrow \overline{\text{Gr}^\mu}$. As $(i_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\lambda$ supported on Z , $(i_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\lambda = (i_Z)_! \mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{D}_S^b(Z, k)$.

\mathbb{F} concentrated in negative perverse degrees, $(i_Z)_! (i_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\mu \cong (i_\mu i_Z)_! \text{IC}_\mu$ concentrated in positive degrees so we same argument as in Case 1.

Case 3: $\lambda \neq \mu$ and $\text{Gr}^\lambda \subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\mu}$ or $\text{Gr}^\mu \subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$

WLOG (Verdier duality anti-equivalence fixing IC), $\text{Gr}^\mu \subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$.

Consider triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{IC}_\mu & \rightarrow & (j_\mu)_* (j_\mu)^* \text{IC}_\mu & \rightarrow & \mathbb{F} \\ & & \text{is} & & \\ & & (j_\mu)_* \underline{k}_{\text{Gr}^\mu}^{[\dim \text{Gr}^\mu]} & \uparrow & \\ & & & & \text{concentrated in nonnegative perverse degrees} \end{array}$$

Applying $\text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, -)$, get

$$\text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, \text{IC}_\mu[\bar{1}]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{IC}_\lambda, (j_\mu)_* \underline{k}_{\text{Gr}^\mu}^{[\dim \text{Gr}^\mu + 1]}) = 0$$

as \mathbb{F} supported on $\overline{\text{Gr}^\mu} \subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} \setminus (\text{Gr}^\lambda)$

as $(j_\mu)_* \text{IC}_\lambda$ concentrated in deg $\leq -\dim \text{Gr}^\mu$, cohomology in degrees of same parity as $\dim \text{Gr}^\lambda$, $\dim \text{Gr}^\lambda \equiv \dim \text{Gr}^\mu \pmod{2}$

$$\Rightarrow (j_\mu)_* \text{IC}_\lambda \text{ concentrated in deg } \leq -\dim \text{Gr}^\mu - 2$$



Note that the forgetful functor $P_{G(\mathbb{C})}(Gr, k) \rightarrow P_S(Gr, k)$ is fully faithful. Then as $P_S(Gr, k)$ is semisimple, each $I(\lambda)$ is in the essential image so we get an equivalence of categories.

Dimension Estimates

Proposition: $\mu \in X_*(T)$. Inside $\overline{S_\mu}$, the boundary of S_μ is given by a hyperplane section under an embedding of Gr in projective space

$$\text{i.e. } \partial S_\mu = \overline{S_\mu} \cap \psi^{-1}(H_\mu), \psi \text{ embedding, } H_\mu \text{ hyperplane}$$

Theorem: Let $\lambda, \mu \in X_*(T)$ with λ dominant.

Then $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^+} \neq \emptyset$ iff $L_\mu \in \overline{Gr^\lambda}$. In this case, $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu}$ has pure dimension $\langle \rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle$. ($\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^-} \neq \emptyset$ iff $L_\mu \in \overline{Gr^\lambda}$. $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu}$ pure of dim. $\langle \rho, \lambda - \mu \rangle$).

Proof: As S_μ^+ is attractive variety of L_μ and $\overline{Gr^\lambda}$ is stable under T action, we have $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^+} \neq \emptyset$ iff $L_\mu \in \overline{Gr^\lambda}$.

In particular, $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^+} \neq \emptyset$ implies $\mu \leq \lambda$. Thus $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \subset \overline{S_\lambda^+}$.

Conjugating by a lift of w_0 , we get $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \subset \overline{S_{w_0\lambda}^+}$.

Then $L_\mu \in \overline{Gr^\lambda} \Rightarrow w_0\lambda \leq \mu \leq \lambda$.

Induct on $\langle \rho, \mu - w_0\lambda \rangle$ to show $\dim(\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^+}) \leq \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle$.

If $\mu = w_0\lambda$, then $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_{w_0\lambda}^+} \subset \overline{S_{w_0\lambda}^+} \cap \overline{S_{w_0\lambda}^-} = \{L_{w_0\lambda}\}$, dimension 0.

If $\mu > w_0\lambda$, choose a hyperplane H_μ as in Proposition. Let $C \in \text{Irr}(\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu})$ and $D \in \text{Irr}(C \cap \psi^{-1}(H_\mu))$.

Then $\dim D \geq \dim C - 1$ but $D \subset \psi^{-1}(H_\mu) \cap \overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^+} = \overline{\partial S_\mu^+ \cap Gr^\lambda} = \bigcup_{v \in \mu} \overline{S_v^+} \cap \overline{Gr^\lambda}$
 so by induction, $\dim D \leq \max_{v \in \mu} \langle \rho, \lambda + v \rangle = \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle - 1$.
 $\Rightarrow \dim C \leq \dim D + 1 \leq \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle$ as desired.

Now for the other inequality. If $\mu = \lambda$, then $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\lambda^+} = \overline{Gr^\lambda}$, irreducible of dim $\langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle = \langle \rho, \lambda + \lambda \rangle$
 so also true for $\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\lambda^+}$.

Assume $\mu < \lambda$. Let $C \in \text{Irr}(\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{S_\mu^+})$. Set $d := \langle \rho, 2\lambda \rangle - \dim C$ and H_λ hyperplane as in Proposition. Then $\overline{C} \subset \overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{\partial S_\lambda^+} = \overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{\psi^{-1}(H_\lambda)}$, locally closed

$\Rightarrow \exists D_i \in \text{Irr}(\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \psi^{-1}(H_\lambda))$ containing \overline{C} and $\dim(D_i) = \langle \rho, 2\lambda \rangle - 1$, and $D_i = \bigcup_{v: \lambda \leq v < \lambda} D_i \cap S_v^+$

$\Rightarrow \exists v_i$ s.t. $C_i := D_i \cap S_{v_i}^+$ open dense in D_i , $v_i \geq \mu$ else $\overline{C} \subset \overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap \overline{\partial S_\mu^+}$

$\Rightarrow C_i \in \text{Irr}(\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap S_{v_i}^+)$ of dim. $\langle \rho, 2\lambda \rangle - 1$ s.t. $\overline{C} \subset \overline{C}_i \Rightarrow \mu < v_i$ if $d > 1$ ($C_i = \overline{C}_i \cap S_{v_i}^+$, $C = \overline{C} \cap S_\mu^+$)

Repeat argument to find v_{i-1}, v_i s.t. $\mu \leq v_2 < v_{i-1} < \dots < v_i < \lambda$ and $C_i \in \text{Irr}(\overline{Gr^\lambda} \cap S_{v_i}^+)$ s.t. $\overline{C} \subset \overline{C}_i$ and $\dim(C_i) = \langle \rho, 2\lambda \rangle - i$. Then $\langle \rho, \mu \rangle \leq \langle \rho, \lambda \rangle - d$ i.e. $d \leq \langle \rho, \lambda \rangle - \langle \rho, \mu \rangle \Rightarrow \dim C \geq \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle$

Corollary: $\lambda \in X^+(\tau)$, $X \subset \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$ closed T -invariant subvariety. Then

$$\dim X \leq \max_{\substack{\mu \in X^+(\tau) \\ L_\mu \in X}} \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle$$

Pruf: $X \cap S_\mu \neq \emptyset \iff L_\mu \in X \Rightarrow X \subset \bigcup_{\substack{\mu \in X^+(\tau) \\ L_\mu \in X}} S_\mu \Rightarrow X \subset \bigcup_{\substack{\mu \in X^+(\tau) \\ L_\mu \in X}} (\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda} \cap S_\mu)$

Convolution Product

We have $G(O) \cong G(K) \times_{\text{Gr}} \text{Gr}$ by $k \cdot (g, [h]) = (gk^{-1}, [kh])$.

Let $[g, h]$ be orbit of $(g, [h])$.

$$I \quad I: \text{Gr} \times \text{Gr} \xleftarrow{P} G(K) \times \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{q} G(K) \times^{G(O)} \text{Gr} \xrightarrow{m} \text{Gr} \\ ([g], [h]) \xleftarrow{I} (g, [h]) \mapsto [g, h] \mapsto [gh]$$

For $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in D_{c, G(O)}^b(\text{Gr}, k)$, $\exists ! \mathcal{F} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{G} \in D^b(G(K) \times^{G(O)} \text{Gr}, k)$

$$\text{s.t. } P^*(\mathcal{F} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{G}) = q^*(\mathcal{F} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{G}) \quad (G(O) \text{ action is free, } q^* \text{ gives equivalence})$$

Define $\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{G} := m_*(\mathcal{F} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{G})$

Proposition: $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Perv}_{G(O)}(\text{Gr}, k) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{G} \in \text{Perv}_{G(O)}(\text{Gr}, k)$

Pruf: Note that m is locally trivial (G_O -equivariant), and $\mathcal{F} \tilde{\otimes} \mathcal{G}$ is perverse so it suffices to show m_X is stratified semismall.

The stratification on Gr is $\{\text{Gr}^\lambda\}_{\lambda \in X^+(\tau)}$, and the stratification for $G(K) \times^{G(O)}$

is $\{\text{Gr}^\lambda \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}^\mu\}_{\lambda, \mu \in X^+}$ where $\text{Gr}^\lambda \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}^\mu := q(p^{-1}(\text{Gr}^\lambda \times \text{Gr}^\mu))$.

As $\dim \text{Gr}_\lambda = \langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle$, then $\dim \text{Gr}^\lambda \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}^\mu = \langle 2\rho, \lambda + \mu \rangle$ so need

$$\dim(m^{-1}(x) \cap \text{Gr}^\lambda \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}^\mu) \leq \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu - \nu \rangle \text{ for } x \in \text{Gr}^\nu$$

As m is $G(O)$ -equivariant, can take $x = L_{W, \nu}$.

let $X = m^{-1}(x) \cap \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda \tilde{\times} \text{Gr}^\mu}$. Consider $\pi(X)$, where $\pi: G(K) \times^{G(O)} \text{Gr} \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ is quotient of $G(K)$.

• $\pi|_X$ proper $\Rightarrow \pi(X)$ closed subvariety of $\overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$

• $X \rightarrow \pi(X)$ bijection \Rightarrow suffices to show $\dim \pi(X) \leq \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu - \nu \rangle$

• $\pi(X)$ meets finitely many S_φ^+ (as $S_\varphi^+ \cap \text{Gr}^\lambda \neq \emptyset \iff L_\varphi \in \overline{\text{Gr}^\lambda}$)

so suffices to show $\dim(S_\varphi^+ \cap \pi(X)) \leq \langle \rho, \lambda + \mu - \nu \rangle$ when $S_\varphi^+ \cap \pi(X) \neq \emptyset$.

• $x = L_{W, \nu}$ fixed by $T \cap \text{Gr} \Rightarrow X$ and $\pi(X)$ stable under T

• $\pi(x)$ closed, S_ψ^+ attracting cell, $S_\psi^+ \cap \pi(x) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow L_\psi \in \pi(x)$

$$\cdot \pi^{-1}(L_\psi) = [t^\psi, t^{w_0v-\psi} G(\theta)] \in G(k) \times^{G(\theta)} Gr \quad (t^\psi, L_\psi) \in X = \tilde{m}(x) \cap \overline{Gr^{\lambda} \times_{G_v} G_v}$$

• Let $\psi = w_0v - \varphi$. Therefore, $S_\psi^+ \cap \pi(x) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists \psi' \text{ s.t. } \varphi + \psi' = w_0v \text{ and } L_{\psi'} \in \overline{Gr_{\mu'}}$.

Thus, if $S_\psi^+ \cap \pi(x) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(S_\psi^+ \cap \pi(x)) &\leq \dim(S_\psi^+ \cap \overline{Gr^\lambda}) \\ &= \dim(S_\psi^+ \cap Gr^\lambda) \\ &\leq \dim(S_\psi^+ \cap Gr^\lambda) + \dim(S_{\psi'}^+ \cap Gr^{\mu'}) \\ &= \langle \varphi, \lambda + \varphi + \mu + \psi' \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, \lambda + \mu + w_0v \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi, \lambda + \mu - v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(*)

Properties of Convolution (see Stefan's talk):

Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \in D_{G(\mathbb{C})}^b(Gr, k)$. Then

- 1) $IC_0 * \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F} * IC_0 \cong \mathcal{F}$
- 2) $(\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{G}) * \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{F} * (\mathcal{G} * \mathcal{H})$
- 3) $(D\mathcal{F}) * (D\mathcal{G}) \cong D(\mathcal{F} * \mathcal{G})$

Fusion Product

Consider the following mod-schemes:

$$\bullet Gr_{A^1} = \{(\mathcal{F}, v, x) : x \in A^1, \mathcal{F} \text{ Gr-bundle on } A^1, v \text{ trivialization of } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } A^1 \setminus \{x\}\} \cong Gr \times A^1$$

$$\bullet Gr_{A^2} = \{(\mathcal{F}, v, x_1, x_2) : (x_1, x_2) \in A^2, \mathcal{F} \text{ Gr-bundle on } A^1, v \text{ trivialization of } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } A^1 \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}\}$$

We have a map $\pi: Gr_{A^2} \rightarrow A^2$ where $\pi^{-1}(x_1, x_2) \cong \begin{cases} Gr & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 \\ Gr \times Gr & \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2 \end{cases}$
(Known as Beilinson-Drinfeld Grassmannian)

$$\bullet \widetilde{Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1}} = \left\{ (\mathcal{F}_1, v_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{F}_2, v_2, x_1, x_2) : v_i \text{ trivialization of } \mathcal{F}_i \text{ on } A^1 \setminus \{x_i\}, \right. \\ \left. \mu_1 \text{ trivialization of } \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ near } x_2 \right\} \quad (x_1, x_2) \in A^2, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ Gr-bundles on } A^1,$$

$$\bullet \widetilde{Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1}} = \left\{ (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, v_1, \eta, x_1, x_2) : v_1 \text{ trivialization of } \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ on } A^1 \setminus \{x_1\}, \right. \\ \left. \eta: \mathcal{F}_1|_{A^1 \setminus \{x_2\}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_2|_{A^1 \setminus \{x_2\}} \text{ isomorphism} \right\} \quad (x_1, x_2) \in A^2, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ Gr-bundles on } A^1,$$

Consider the diagram over A^2 :

$$Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1} \xleftarrow{P} \widetilde{Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1}} \xrightarrow{q} Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1} \xrightarrow{m} Gr_{A^2}$$

$$((\mathcal{F}_1, v_1, x_1), (\mathcal{F}_2, v_2, x_2)) \xleftarrow{1} (\mathcal{F}_1, v_1, \mu_1, \mathcal{F}_2, v_2, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, v_1, \mu_1, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_1, \eta, v_1, x_1, x_2)$$

where for q_1 , \mathcal{F} is obtained by gluing $\mathcal{F}_1|_{A^1 \setminus \{x_2\}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_2|_{A^1 \setminus \{x_1\}}$ along $\mathcal{F}_1|_{D_{x_2}^X} \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_2|_{D_{x_1}^X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_1|_{D_{x_2}^X}$

The idea is that over $(x, x) \in A^2$, the diagram is the usual convolution diagram while over $(x, y) \in A^2$ with $x \neq y$, the diagram is

$$Gr \times Gr \xleftarrow{P} G(K) \times Gr \xrightarrow{P} Gr \times Gr \xrightarrow{id} Gr \times Gr.$$

Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in P_{G(A)}(Gr_A, k)$. As before, $\exists ! \tilde{f} \boxtimes \tilde{g}$ s.t. $g^*(\tilde{f} \boxtimes \tilde{g}) = p^*(\tilde{f} \boxtimes \tilde{g})$.

Define $\tilde{f} *_{A^1} \tilde{g} := m_*(\tilde{f} \boxtimes \tilde{g}) \in D_c^b(Gr_{A^2}, k)$

Let $\tau: Gr_{A^1} \rightarrow Gr$ be projection, $\tau^\circ := \tau^*(\tilde{f}) \cong \tau^!(\tilde{f})$ (shift to preserve perverseness)
Let $i: Gr_{A^1} \hookrightarrow Gr_{A^2}$ be inclusion of the diagonal. Set $i^\circ := i^*(\tilde{f})$, $i^! := i^!(\tilde{f})$.

Lemma: For $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 \in P_{G(A)}(Gr, k)$,

$$i^!(\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2)) \cong \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1 * \tilde{f}_2) \cong i^!(\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))$$

Proof: m proper so using base change, suffices to prove

$$(i')^*(\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2)) \cong (\tau')^*(\tilde{f}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{f}_2)[1], \quad (i')^!(\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2)) \cong (\tau')^!(\tilde{f}_1 \boxtimes \tilde{f}_2)[-1]$$

where $i': (G(K) \times^{G(O)} Gr) \times \Delta_{A^1} \hookrightarrow Gr_{A^1} \boxtimes Gr_{A^1}$, $\tau': (G(K) \times^{G(O)} Gr) \times \Delta_{A^1} \rightarrow G(K) \times^{G(O)} Gr$

$$\text{ie. } \begin{array}{ccc} G(K) \times^{G(O)} Gr \times \Delta_{A^1} & \xrightarrow{\text{mix}} & Gr \times \Delta_{A^1} \\ \downarrow i' & & \downarrow i \\ Gr_{A^1} \boxtimes Gr_{A^1} & \xrightarrow{m} & Gr_{A^2} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G(K) \times^{G(O)} Gr \times \Delta_{A^1} & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & G(K) \times^{G(O)} Gr \\ \downarrow \text{mixid} & & \downarrow m \\ Gr \times A^1 & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Gr \end{array}$$

and $(\tau')^\circ = (\tau')^*(\tilde{f}) \cong (\tau')^!(\tilde{f})$. □

Let $U = A^2 \setminus \Delta_{A^1}$, $j: (Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1})|_U \cong Gr_{A^2}|_U \hookrightarrow Gr_{A^2}$.

Lemma: For $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 \in P_{G(A)}(Gr, k)$, we have

$$j_{!*}((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))|_U) \cong (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))|_U).$$

In particular, $*_{A^1}$ is a functor to $P_{G(A)}(Gr_{A^1}, k)$.

Sketch: Over U , $(\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2)) = (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2)) = (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))$.

By Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne, suffices to show that

$$i^*((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))) \in PD^{\leq -1} \text{ and } i^!((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))) \in PD^{\geq 1}.$$

By above Lemma, $i^*((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) *_{A^1} (\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))) \cong \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1 * \tilde{f}_2)[1] \in PD^{\leq -1}$ as $\tilde{f}_1 * \tilde{f}_2$ perverse.

Other condition is similar, using other isomorphism in above Lemma. □

Combining Lemmas, we have $\forall \tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 \in P_{G(A)}(Gr, k)$, $\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1 * \tilde{f}_2) \cong i^* j_{!*}((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))|_U)$

Let $s: Gr_{A^2} \rightarrow Gr_{A^2}$ be automorphism swapping x_1 and x_2 . Then $(s \circ i) = i$ and the induced automorphism s_U of $(Gr_{A^1} \times Gr_{A^1})|_U$ swaps the two factors.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1 * \tilde{f}_2) &\cong i^* j_{!*}((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))|_U) \cong i^* s^* j_{!*}((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))|_U) \\ &\cong i^* j_{!*}(s_U)^*((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2))|_U) \cong i^* j_{!*}((\tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2) \boxtimes \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_1))|_U) \\ &\cong \tau^\circ(\tilde{f}_2 * \tilde{f}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Restrict to a point to get $\tilde{f}_1 * \tilde{f}_2 \cong \tilde{f}_2 * \tilde{f}_1$.

Product of MV Cycles Examples

We will fix $x_1 = 0$ and allow the other to vary, so work with

$$\text{Gr}_{\mathbb{A}} = \{(F, v, s) : s \in A, F \text{ } G\text{-bundle on } H, v \text{ trivialization of } F \text{ over } A \setminus \{0, s\}\}$$

Look at fusion product on level of varieties i.e. irreducible components of $\text{Gr}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap S_{\mu}^-$, using lattice model.

L_1 0-lattice i.e. $L_1 \sim [g] \in \text{Gr}$ where $g \in G(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$

L_2 s -lattice i.e. $L_2 \sim [g] \in \text{Gr}$ where $g \in G(\mathbb{C}[t, (t-s)^{-1}])$

Think of $(L_1, L_2) \in \text{Gr}_A / U$ where $U = A \setminus \{0\}$.

Then $(L_1, L_2) = L$ where

$$L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[[t]] = L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[[t]] \quad \text{and} \quad L \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[[t-s]] = L_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t]} \mathbb{C}[[t-s]]$$

Then take $L_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L$.

Then if Z_1, Z_2 MV cycles with generic points L_1, L_2 , then an irreducible component of $Z_1 \times Z_2$ has generic point L_0 .

A_2 Example: $L_1 = \langle te_1, e_2 \rangle, L_2 = \langle e_1, (t-s)e_2 \rangle$

so L_1, L_2 correspond to the varieties $L_{(1,0)}, L_{(0,1)}$.

Let $L = \langle te_1, (t-s)e_2 \rangle$.

Note that $(t-s)$ is invertible in $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ and t is invertible in $\mathbb{C}[[t-s]]$

$$\Rightarrow L = (L_1, L_2)$$

$$L_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L = \langle te_1, te_2 \rangle \rightsquigarrow L_{(1,1)} \text{ as desired.}$$

$$\text{In matrices, } L_1 \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} + & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, L_2 \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t-s \end{bmatrix}, L \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} + & 0 \\ 0 & t-s \end{bmatrix}, L_0 \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} + & 0 \\ 0 & + \end{bmatrix}.$$

A_2 Example: $L_1 = \langle e_1, e_2 + \frac{1}{s}t^{-1}e_1 \rangle, L_2 = \langle e_1, e_2 + \frac{1}{s}(t-s)^{-1}e_1 \rangle$

so L_1, L_2 correspond to $\text{Gr}^{\mathbb{A}} \cap S_0^- \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $L = \langle e_1, e_2 + t^{-1}(t-s)^{-1}e_1 \rangle$. Note: $t^{-1}(t-s)^{-1} = \frac{1}{s}(t^{-1} - (t-s)^{-1})$

$$\text{We have } (e_2 + t^{-1}(t-s)^{-1}e_1) \otimes (t-s) = e_2 \otimes (t-s) + \frac{1}{s}t^{-1}e_1 \otimes (t-s) + \frac{1}{s}e_1 \otimes 1$$

$$\equiv (e_2 + \frac{1}{s}t^{-1}e_1) \otimes (t-s) \pmod{L \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]}$$

$$\equiv (e_2 + \frac{1}{s}t^{-1}e_1) \otimes 1 \pmod{L \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]}$$

$$\Rightarrow L \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]] = L_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t]]. \text{ Similarly, } L \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t-s]] = L_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[[t-s]].$$

$$L_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L = \langle e_1, e_2 + t^2e_1 \rangle \rightsquigarrow \text{Gr}^{2\mathbb{A}} \cap S_0^- = 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ Hirzebruch surface.}$$

Weight Functors and Fiber Functors

Proposition: For $\mathcal{F} \in P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, k)$, $\mu \in X^*(\mathbb{I})$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i: S_\mu^- \hookrightarrow Gr$, we have

$$H^k(Gr, i^! \mathcal{F}) = H_c^k(S_\mu^-, \mathcal{F}) \cong H_c^k(S_\mu^+, \mathcal{F})$$

and both terms vanish if $k \notin \langle 2p, \mu \rangle$.

Sketch: For $\lambda \in X^+(\mathbb{I})$, \mathcal{F} perverse $\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{Gr^\lambda} \in D^{-\langle 2p, \lambda \rangle}(Gr^\lambda, k)$. In supp \mathcal{F} , let $Gr^\lambda \subset \text{supp } \mathcal{F}$. From dimension estimates, $H_c^k(Gr^\lambda \cap S_\mu^+; k) = 0$ for $k > \langle 2p, \lambda + \mu \rangle$. open, $\mathbb{Z} = \text{supp } \mathcal{F}|_{Gr^\lambda}$. Consider $j_{\lambda!}(\mathcal{F}|_{Gr^\lambda}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_* i^! \mathcal{F}$

Grothendieck's dévissage $\Rightarrow H_c^k(Gr^\lambda \cap S_\mu^+, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $k > \langle 2p, \mu \rangle$.

Filter support of \mathcal{F} by the $Gr^\lambda \Rightarrow H_c^k(S_\mu, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $k > \langle 2p, \mu \rangle$. $H_c^k(S_\mu^+, j_{\lambda!}(\mathcal{F}|_{Gr^\lambda})) \in \text{Perv}_{Gr^\lambda}$ change Analogous dual argument shows $t|_{S_\mu^-}^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $k < \langle 2p, \mu \rangle$. $H_c^k(S_\mu^+ \cap Gr^\lambda, \mathcal{F}) = 0$

Borel's hyperbolic localization theorem gives $H^k_{S_\mu^-}(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \cong H_c^k(S_\mu, \mathcal{F})$. \square $\hookrightarrow \langle 2p, \mu \rangle$

Define $F_\mu: P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, k) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k$ weight functors
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto H_c^{\langle 2p, \mu \rangle}(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \cong H_c^{\langle 2p, \mu \rangle}(S_\mu^+, \mathcal{F})$

Note: As $P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, k)$ is semisimple, this functor is exact.

Define $F: P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, k) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k$ hypercohomology functor
 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto H^*(Gr, \mathcal{F})$

Theorem: 1) $F \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in X^*(\mathbb{I})} F_\mu$
 2) F is exact and faithful.

Sketch: 1) Let $\mathcal{F} \in P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, k)$. We will show $H^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \cong \bigoplus_{\langle 2p, \mu \rangle = k} F_\mu(\mathcal{F})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. WLOG, \mathcal{F} indecomposable with connected support. For $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, set $Z_n = \coprod_{\substack{\mu \in X^*(\mathbb{I}) \\ \langle 2p, \mu \rangle = n}} S_\mu^-$.

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_n$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} Z_n$ are unions of connected components of Gr .

WLOG, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_n$. Give $Z_n \subset Gr$ subspace topology so $Z_n = \coprod_{\mu \in X^*(\mathbb{I})} S_\mu^-$ topologically

$$\Rightarrow H_{Z_n}^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 2n \\ \bigoplus_{\langle 2p, \mu \rangle = n} F_\mu(\mathcal{F}) & \text{if } k = 2n \end{cases}$$

As $\widehat{S_\mu^-} = \coprod_{\nu \geq \mu} S_\nu^-$, we have $Z_n = Z_n \sqcup Z_{n+1} \sqcup Z_{n+2} \sqcup \dots = Z_n \sqcup \widehat{Z_{n+1}}$. Consider $\widehat{Z_{n+1}} \xrightarrow{i} \widehat{Z_n} \xleftarrow{j} Z_n$

Let \mathcal{F}_n = corestriction of A to $\widehat{Z_n}$. Applying $H^*(\widehat{Z_n}, -)$ to $i_* i^! \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow j_* j^! \mathcal{F}_n$, get

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\widehat{Z_{n+1}}}^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\widehat{Z_n}}^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{Z_n}^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{Z_{n+1}}^{k+1}(Gr, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

For $n \gg 0$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}$ is disjoint from $\widehat{Z_n}$ as $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}$ compact

$$\Rightarrow H_{Z_n}^k(Gr, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \text{ for } n \gg 0.$$

Using decreasing induction on n gives $H_{\mathbb{Z}_{n/2}}^k(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}) = 0$ if k odd or $n > \frac{k}{2}$,

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}_{n/2}}^k(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathbb{Z}_n}^k(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{A})$$

\downarrow

if k even and $n \leq \frac{k}{2}$

$$H_{\mathbb{Z}_{n/2}}^k(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F})$$

Then take n small so that $\mathrm{supp} \mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$.

2) As $P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(\mathrm{Gr}, k)$ is semisimple, exactness is automatic.

For faithfulness, let $\mathbb{F} \neq 0$ perverse sheaf. Then $\mathrm{supp} \mathbb{F}$ is a finite union of Gr^λ .

Let Gr^λ be open in $\mathrm{supp} \mathbb{F}$.

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{F}|_{\mathrm{Gr}^\lambda} \cong k[\dim \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda]$ as Gr^λ simply connected. Similarly, $S_\lambda^- \cap \mathrm{supp} \mathbb{F} = S_\lambda^- \cap \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda = \{L_\lambda\}$

$$\Rightarrow S_{w_0\lambda}^+ \cap \mathrm{supp} \mathbb{F} = S_{w_0\lambda}^+ \cap \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_\lambda(\mathbb{F}) &\cong H^{<2p, \lambda}(S_\lambda^-, i_\lambda^! \mathbb{F}) \\ &\cong H^{<2p, \lambda}(S_\lambda^- \cap \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda, i^!(\mathbb{F}|_{\mathrm{Gr}^\lambda})) \cong k \end{aligned}$$

We have $\dim(S_{w_0\lambda}^+ \cap \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda) = 0$. In fact, $S_{w_0\lambda}^+ \cap \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda = \{L_{w_0\lambda}\}$

$$\Rightarrow F_{w_0\lambda}(\mathbb{F}) = H_c^{<2p, \lambda}(\mathbb{F}|_{S_{w_0\lambda}^+}) \cong H^{-<2p, \lambda}(\mathbb{F}|_{S_{w_0\lambda}^+ \cap \mathrm{Gr}^\lambda}) \cong k.$$

Proposition: For $\mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2 \in P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(\mathrm{Gr}, k)$, $F(\mathbb{F}_1 * \mathbb{F}_2) = F(\mathbb{F}_1) \otimes_k F(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

Idem: Let $\mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2 \in P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(\mathrm{Gr}, k)$. Set $\mathbb{F} = (\tau^\circ \mathbb{F}_1) *_{\mathbb{A}^1} (\tau^\circ \mathbb{F}_2)$. Let $\pi: \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbb{A}^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be projection. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- k^{th} cohomology sheaf of $(\pi_* \mathbb{F})|_{\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}[-2]}$ is locally constant on $\Delta \mathbb{A}^1$ with stalk $H^k(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_1 * \mathbb{F}_2)$
- k^{th} cohomology sheaf of $(\pi_* \mathbb{F})|_{U[-2]}$ is locally constant on U with stalk $H^k(\mathrm{Gr} \times \mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_1 * \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_1) \otimes H^j(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_2)$ (Künneth formula)

$$\text{Then } H^k(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_1 * \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^i(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_1) \otimes H^j(\mathrm{Gr}, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

If we know $H^{k-2}(\pi_* \mathbb{F})$ is locally constant on \mathbb{A}^2 .

Note that F factors through $\mathrm{Vect}_k(X_*(\mathbb{T}))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(\mathrm{Gr}, k) & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus F_\mu} & \mathrm{Vect}_k(X_*(\mathbb{T})) \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow \text{forget} \\ & & \mathrm{Vect}_k \end{array}$$

Proposition: For $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in P_{G(O)}(Gr, k)$, $\mu \in X_*(T)$,

$$F_\mu(\mathcal{F}_1 * \mathcal{F}_2) = \bigoplus_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu} F_{\mu_1}(\mathcal{F}_1) \otimes_k F_{\mu_2}(\mathcal{F}_2)$$

Proof: See [BR].

Lemma: $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in P_{G(O)}(Gr, k)$. We have $\dim F(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ iff $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cong IC_\lambda$ for some λ with $\langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle = 0$.

Proof: If $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cong IC_\lambda$ with $0 = \langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle = \dim Gr^\lambda$ so $Gr^\lambda = \overline{Gr^\lambda} = pt$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a skyscraper sheaf on this point. Then $H^*(Gr, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = k$.

Conversely, suppose $\dim H^*(Gr, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$. The support of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ must be closure of a single $G(O)$ -orbit, else $F_{\mu_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \neq 0 \neq F_{\mu_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ for $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$.

Let $\overline{Gr^\lambda}$ be this orbit. If $\langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle > 0$, then $\exists \text{ root } \alpha \text{ s.t. } \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \neq 0$ so $\lambda \neq w_0\lambda$. Then in proof of F faithful, can show that $F_\lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \neq 0 \neq F_{w_0\lambda}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$.

$$\Rightarrow \langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle = 0.$$

\Leftrightarrow Support of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is a 0-dim. $G(O)$ -orbit $\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ skyscraper on $Gr_\lambda = \overline{Gr_\lambda}$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \cong IC_\lambda.$$

Note that if $\langle 2\rho, \lambda \rangle = 0$, then $-\lambda$ is dominant.

The Dual Group

By Tannakian formalism, we have

$$P_{G(O)}(Gr, k) \xrightarrow[S]{\sim} Rep_k(\tilde{G})$$

$\downarrow F$ $\downarrow \omega$

for some group \tilde{G} over k .

Lemma: \tilde{G} is algebraic.

Proof: Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ generate $X_+^+(T)$. For $\lambda = \sum k_i \lambda_i$, $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the sheaf IC_λ is a direct summand of $\underbrace{IC_{\lambda_1} * \dots * IC_{\lambda_1}}_{k_1 \text{ copies}} * \dots * \underbrace{IC_{\lambda_n} * \dots * IC_{\lambda_n}}_{k_n \text{ copies}}$

$\Rightarrow IC_\lambda \oplus \bigoplus IC_{\lambda - m_i \lambda_i}$ is a tensor generator for $P_{G(O)}(Gr, k) \cong Rep_k(\tilde{G})$

$\Rightarrow \tilde{G}$ is algebraic.

Lemma: \tilde{G} is connected.

Proof: If $\lambda \in X_+^+(T)$ nonzero, then $IC_{m\lambda}$ nonisomorphic for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (different supports).

\Rightarrow For $\mathcal{F} \in P_{G(O)}(Gr, k)$ nonzero, full subcategory formed by subquotients of objects $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}$ cannot be stable under $*$. Then same true for $Rep_k(\tilde{G}) \Rightarrow \tilde{G}$ connected.

Lemma: \tilde{G} is reductive.

Proof: If E is algebraic closure of k , then from Tannakian formalism,

$$P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, \bar{k}) \cong \text{Rep}_{\bar{k}}(\text{Spec}(E) \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \tilde{G})$$

and $\text{Rep}_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, \bar{k})$ is semisimple. Thus \tilde{G} is reductive. (W)

We will now construct a split maximal torus in \tilde{G} .

Let T^\vee be unique split k -torus s.t. $X^*(T^\vee) = X_*(T)$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{Vect}_k(X_*(T)) \cong \text{Rep}_k(T^\vee)$$

$\oplus_{\mu} F_\mu$ induces a functor $F_{T^\vee}: \text{Rep}_k(\tilde{G}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_k(T^\vee)$ so we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{G(\mathbb{Q})}(Gr, k) & \xrightarrow{\oplus F_\mu} & \text{Vect}_k(X_*(T)) \\ S \downarrow & G & \text{IS} \\ \text{Rep}_k(\tilde{G}) & \xrightarrow{F_{T^\vee}} & \text{Rep}_k(T^\vee) \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow F_{T^\vee}$ induced by a unique morphism $\varphi: T^\vee \rightarrow \tilde{G}$.

Each $\lambda \in X^*(T^\vee)$ appears in at least one $F_{T^\vee}(IC_\mu)$ (e.g. $\mu = \text{dominant in } W\lambda$)

$\Rightarrow \varphi$ is an embedding of a closed subgroup so T^\vee viewed as a split torus in \tilde{G} . [Jantzen]

F_{T^\vee} gives morphism $T^\vee_Q \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K^0(\text{Rep}_E(\tilde{G}|_E))) \cong T^\vee_Q/W$

$\Rightarrow \text{rank } \tilde{G} = \dim T^\vee \Rightarrow T^\vee$ is a maximal torus of \tilde{G} .

Remarks to identify root datum of (\tilde{G}, T^\vee) . WLGS, $k = E$.

Consider $2p \in X^*(T)$. Then \exists Borel $\tilde{B} \subset \tilde{G}$ s.t. $T^\vee \subset \tilde{B}$ and $2p$ is a dominant coweight for choice of positive roots of \tilde{G} given by the T^\vee -wts in Lie algebra of \tilde{B} .

Lemma: For such a choice of \tilde{B} , the dominant wts for T^\vee are exactly the dominant coweights $X_+^*(T)$ of T .

Proof: For $\lambda \in X_+^*(T)$, let $V = S(IC_\lambda)$ be the simple \tilde{G} -module corresponding to IC_λ .

Maximal value for $\langle 2p, \mu \rangle$ for μ a wt of V is when $\mu = \lambda$ and only for this wt. Then λ dominant for $T^\vee \subset \tilde{B} \subset \tilde{G}$ and a highest wt for V .

Conversely, let $\mu \in X^*(T^\vee)$ be dominant for $T^\vee \subset \tilde{B} \subset \tilde{G}$. Let V be simple of h.wt. μ . Then $V = (IC_\lambda) \nexists! \lambda \in X_+(T)^+$, and by above, $\lambda = \mu$. Thus μ dominant for $T \subset \tilde{B} \subset \tilde{G}$. (W)

Note: \widetilde{B} is uniquely determined.

Let $\Delta(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)$ be the root system

$\Delta_+(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)$ be the positive roots

$\Delta_s(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)$ be the simple roots

Similar notation for G_i .

We have $\{\mathbb{Q}_+ \cdot \alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_s^+(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)\} = \{\mathbb{Q}_+ \cdot \beta : \beta \in \Delta_s(G_i, B_i, T)\}$

Lemma: $\Delta_s(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee) = \Delta_s(G_i, B_i, T)$ as subsets of $X^*(\widetilde{T}) = X^*(T^\vee)$.

Proof: Let G^\vee be Langlands dual to G_i . Then T^\vee is a maximal torus of G^\vee .
 Choose positive roots of (G^\vee, T^\vee) as positive coroots of $T C B C G_i$.
 \Rightarrow dominant weights of (G^\vee, T^\vee) are $X_{\infty}^+(\widetilde{T})$.

Let $\lambda \in X_{\infty}^+(\widetilde{T})$. Consider simple G^\vee -module $V_\lambda(G^\vee)$ of h-wt. λ and simple \widetilde{G} -module $V_\lambda(\widetilde{G}) = SIC_\lambda$ of h-wt. λ . These two have the same weights.

Observe that $\{\lambda - \mu : \lambda \in X_{\infty}^+(\widetilde{T}), \mu \text{ a wt of } V_\lambda(G^\vee)\}$ is the \mathbb{N} -span of positive roots of (G^\vee, T^\vee) .
 \Rightarrow also \mathbb{N} -span of positive roots of $(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)$.
 \Rightarrow simple roots of \widetilde{G} are the simple roots of G^\vee . □

Theorem: \widetilde{G} is Langlands dual to G_i .

Pf: We have $X^*(T^\vee)$ dual to $X^*(T)$. Need to show roots and coroots of \widetilde{G} with canonical bijection between them correlate with coroots and roots of G_i with their canonical bijection.

Let $\alpha \in \Delta_s(G_i, B_i, T)$. Then $\alpha^\vee \in \Delta_s(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)$. The coroot $\widetilde{\alpha}$ of \widetilde{G} associated to this root is \mathbb{Q}_+ -proportional to a simple root of $T C B C G_i$.

We have • $\langle \widetilde{\alpha}, \alpha^\vee \rangle = 2$

• $\langle \widetilde{\alpha}, \beta^\vee \rangle \leq 0$ for $\beta^\vee \in \Delta_s(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee) \setminus \{\alpha^\vee\}$

$\Rightarrow \widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha$.

Thus $\Delta_s(G_i, B_i, T) \cong \Delta_s^+(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee)$. We also have $\Delta_s(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{T}^\vee) = \Delta_s^+(G_i, B_i, T)$ and the bijections between simple roots and coroots are the same.

\Rightarrow can identify Weyl groups of G_i and G^\vee and extend equalities to all roots and coroots. □

Concluding Remarks

The simples IC_λ correspond to the simples of h-wt. λ $L(\lambda)$.

($F_\lambda(IC_\lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if $S(IC_\lambda)$ are $\leq \lambda$ as $F_\mu(F) \neq 0 \Rightarrow L_\mu \in \text{Supp } F$)

$M(\lambda)$ Weyl module of h-wt. λ characterized by

- $\exists M(\lambda) \rightarrow L(\lambda)$ whose kernel has wts $< \lambda$
- $\forall M$ with wts $< \lambda$, $\text{Hom}(M(\lambda), M) = 0$

These are standard objects and correspond to $I_!(\lambda) := {}^P H^0(j_{\lambda!} \underline{\mathbb{L}}_{Gr^\lambda} [dm_{Gr^\lambda}])$
where $j_\lambda : Gr^\lambda \hookrightarrow Gr$.

The costandard objects are dual Weyl modules corresponding to

$$I_*(\lambda) := {}^P H^0((j_\lambda)_* \underline{\mathbb{L}}_{Gr^\lambda} [dm_{Gr^\lambda}]).$$

Addendum

S_μ^+ are attraction varieties:

Let $2\check{\beta} : G_m \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be sum of positive coroots. Acting by conjugation by $2\check{\beta}$ on $N(K)$, we have $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} 2\check{\beta}(s)n = 1$ for all $n \in N(K)$.

\Rightarrow for any $x \in S_\mu^+$, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} 2\check{\beta}(s)x = L_\mu$. Since L_μ are the fixed points of the G_m -action via $2\check{\beta}$,

$$S_\mu^+ = \{x \in Gr : \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} 2\check{\beta}(s)x = L_\mu\}.$$