

# Existence and Uniqueness Theorem

## Elements of the Real Analysis

**Definition 1.** Let

(i)  $C^0([a, b]) := \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$  be a space of continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  with a norm  $\|f\| \equiv \max_{[a, b]} |f(x)|$  and a *distance*  $\text{dist}(f, g) \equiv \|f - g\| = \max_{[a, b]} |f(x) - g(x)|, f, g \in C^0([a, b])$ ;

(ii)  $C^1([a, b]) := \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid df/dx \text{ exists and it is continuous}\}$  be a space of continuously differentiable functions on  $[a, b]$ ;

(iii) A *Cauchy sequence* in a metric space (i.e. a set with a distance satisfying triangle inequality and such that  $\text{dist}(f, g) = \text{dist}(g, f)$  and  $\text{dist}(f, g) = 0 \iff f = g$ ) is a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(f_n, g_m) = 0.$$

( $C^0([a, b])$  is an example of a metric space);

(iv) A *complete* metric space is a metric space such that for every Cauchy sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , there exists a point  $f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ , in that space such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(f_n, f) = 0.$$

Cauchy theorem from 1st year Calculus says that the real numbers form a complete metric space.

**Theorem 2.**  $C^0([a, b])$  is complete (with respect to  $\text{dist}(f, g)$ ).

*Proof.* Assume  $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^0([a, b])$ . This implies that  $\{g_n(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , for any  $x \in [a, b]$ . By Cauchy's theorem, this last sequence converges to a number, which we denote with  $g(x)$ . We obtain in this way a function  $g$  defined on  $[a, b]$ . Moreover,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(g_n; g) = 0$$

since for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $N$  such that if  $m, n \geq N$ ,

$$|g_n(x) - g_m(x)| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for all } x \in [a, b].$$

Taking the limit for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  one obtains

$$|g_n(x) - g(x)| \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon \text{ for all } x \in [a, b].$$

Finally,  $g$  is continuous: Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $N$  for which

$$|g_n(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon/3, \quad n \geq N, \text{ for all } x \in [a, b].$$

Select  $n \geq N$  as above. Since  $g_n(x)$  is continuous, one has that for any  $x, y \in [a, b], 0 < |x - y| < \delta$ ,

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| < \epsilon/3$$

for an appropriate  $\delta = \delta(\epsilon/3) > 0$ . It follows immediately that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$$

for  $0 < |x - y| < \delta, x, y \in [a, b]$ , and hence  $g$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f(x, y)$  be a function with  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  continuous. Put*

$$\nabla f(x, y_1, y_2) := \frac{f(x, y_1) - f(x, y_2)}{y_1 - y_2} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, sy_1 + (1-s)y_2) ds.$$

(It follows from  $h(y_1) - h(y_2) = \int_{y_2}^{y_1} h'(t) dt$  by a change of variable  $t = sy_1 + (1-s)y_2$ .)

Denote

$$B = \max_{|x|, |y| \leq b} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right|.$$

Then

$$\nabla f(x, y_1, y_2) \leq B \text{ for all } |x|, |y_1|, |y_2| \leq b.$$

*Proof.* Show this yourself! It is easy!  $\square$

## Existence and Uniqueness theorem

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f(x, y)$  be continuous and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  exist and be bounded in the "box"  $|x - \bar{x}| \leq b, |y - \bar{y}| \leq b$ . Then Cauchy problem*

$$y' = f(x, y), \tag{1}$$

$$y(\bar{x}) = \bar{y} \tag{2}$$

*has a unique solution  $y = y(x)$  on interval  $(\bar{x} - a', \bar{x} + a')$  with sufficiently small  $a' > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Denote

$$A = \max_{|x-\bar{x}|, |y-\bar{y}| \leq b} |f(x, y)| \quad B = \max_{|x-\bar{x}|, |y-\bar{y}| \leq b} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) \right|$$

and let us redefine  $a = a' = \min\{b/A, 1/2B\}$  (so that  $a \cdot A \leq b$  and  $a \cdot B \leq 1/2$ ).

(i) First of all we claim that (1)–(2) is equivalent to a single integral equation

$$y(x) = I(y)(x) := \bar{y} + \int_{\bar{x}}^x f(s, y(s)) ds. \quad (3)$$

Really, if  $y$  satisfies (1)–(2) then integrating (1) from  $\bar{x}$  to  $x$  we arrive to  $y(x) - y(\bar{x}) = I(y)(x)$  and using (2) we arrive to (3). Conversely if  $y$  satisfies (3) then  $y \in C^1(\bar{x} - a, \bar{x} + a)$  (because  $I(y)$  is a continuously differentiable) and differentiating (3) we arrive to (1); plugging  $x = \bar{x}$  into (3) we arrive to (1).

(ii) Note that for any  $y, z \in C^0([\bar{x} - a, \bar{x} + a])$  such that  $|y(x) - \bar{y}| \leq b$ ,  $|z(x) - \bar{y}| \leq b$  we have  $\text{dist}(y, z) \leq 2b$ .

(iii)  $I(y)$  defined above is a *contraction*, that is

$$\text{dist}(I(y), I(z)) \leq q \text{dist}(y, z) \quad (4)$$

for some  $q < 1$ . In fact, due to the lemma 3:

$$|I(y)(x) - I(z)(x)| = \left| \int_{\bar{x}}^x \nabla f(s, y(s), z(s))(y(s) - z(s)) ds \right| \leq aB \cdot \text{dist}(y, z)$$

and, since  $aB \leq 1/2$ , we can take  $q = 1/2$ .

*Remark 1.* It follows from (iii) that  $I(g_i) = g_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  implies  $g_1 = g_2$ . Show this yourself. This proves uniqueness.

(iv) Any sequence composed of  $y_0 \in C^0([\bar{x} - a, \bar{x} + a])$  with  $\|y(x) - \bar{y}\| \leq b$  (for instance  $y_0 \equiv 0$ ),  $y_n := I(y_{n-1})$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , is a Cauchy sequence: indeed, because  $q = 1/2$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q^n = 0$$

Take  $n(\epsilon)$  such that  $q^n < \epsilon/2b$  for all  $n \geq n(\epsilon)$ . Let  $m \geq n \geq n(\epsilon)$ . Then

$$\text{dist}(g_m, g_n) = \|I^n(y_{m-n} - y_0)\| \leq q^n \|y_{m-n} - y_0\| \leq q^n 2b < (\epsilon/2b) \cdot 2b = \epsilon.$$

(v) By making use of theorem 2, there exists  $y \in C^0([-a, a])$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(y_n, y) = 0$ , and hence  $|y(x) - \bar{y}| \leq b$  for  $|x - x_0| \leq a$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(y, I(y)) &\leq \text{dist}(y, y_n) + \text{dist}(y_n, I(y)) \\ &= \text{dist}(y, y_n) + \text{dist}(I(y_{n-1}), I(y)) \leq \text{dist}(y, y_n) + \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(y_{n-1}, y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(y, y_n) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(y_{n-1}, y),$$

it follows  $\text{dist}(y, I(y)) = 0$ , i.e.  $y = I(y)$ .

□

## Existence theorem

One can prove

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f(x, y)$  be continuous in the “box”  $|x - \bar{x}| \leq b, |y - \bar{y}| \leq b$ . Then Cauchy problem (1)–(2) has a solution  $y = y(x)$  on interval  $(\bar{x} - a', \bar{x} + a')$  with sufficiently small  $a' > 0$ .*

*Sketch of the proof.* Consider Euler approximations with the step  $h$ :

$$y_{h,n+1} = y_{h,n} + f(x_n, y_{h,n})h, \quad x_n = \bar{x} + nh, \quad y_{h,0} = \bar{y} \quad (5)$$

and on “step” intervals  $(x_n, x_{n+1})$  we apply a linear approximation  $y_h(x) = y_{h,n} + f(x_n, y_{h,n})(x - x_n)$ . Here we take  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  but we can go also in the opposite direction (replacing  $h$  by  $-h$ ). So, we get a piecewise linear function  $y_h(x)$ .

One can prove that

(a) Functions  $y_h(x)$  are defined on interval  $[\bar{x} - a, \bar{x} + a]$  with  $a$  redefined as  $a' = \min(a, b/A)$  (see proof of theorem 4) and are uniformly bounded there:  $|y_h(x) - \bar{y}| \leq b$ ;

(b) Functions  $y_h(x)$  are uniformly continuous i.e. for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - x'| < \delta \implies |y_h(x) - y_h(x')| < \epsilon$ ; indeed,  $\delta = \epsilon/A$  works. “Uniformly” here and above means that bound and  $\delta$  do not depend on  $h$ ;

(c)  $|y_h(x) - I(y_h)(x)| \leq \varepsilon_h$  for all  $x \in [\bar{x} - a, \bar{x} + a]$  with  $\varepsilon_h \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

Let us take  $h_m = 2^{-m} \rightarrow +0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we apply Arzelá–Ascoli theorem from Real Analysis:

**Theorem 6.** *From sequence of functions  $y_{h_m}(x)$  satisfying (a)–(b) one can select a subsequence  $y_{h_{m_k}}(x)$  converging in  $C([\bar{x} - a, \bar{x} + a])$ :  $y_{h_{m_k}}(x) \rightarrow y(x)$ . Since step  $h_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$  the limit is by no means piecewise linear!*

Then obviously  $I(y_{h_{m_k}}) \rightarrow I(y)$ . Further, (c) implies that  $y = I(y)$  and therefore  $y$  satisfies (3) and thus it satisfies (1)–(2).  $\square$

*Remark 7.* (i) In contrast to theorem 4 theorem 6 does not imply uniqueness of solution; indeed, example  $y' = y^{\frac{1}{3}}$  analyzed in the lectures shows the lack of uniqueness;

(ii) Both theorems 4 and 6 are based on fixed point equation  $y = I(y)$  but existence of the fixed point  $y$  is due to different ideas: in theorem 4 it exists and is unique because map  $y \rightarrow I(y)$  is contractive; in theorem 6 it exists (but is not necessarily unique) because map  $y \rightarrow I(y)$  is compact (we did not define this notion).