A Seifert Dream

mal Gaussian integrals of $\exp(Q + P_{\epsilon})$ are invariants of K.

In my talk I will explain what the above means, why this dream mation coming from Σ). is oh so sweet, and why it is in fact closer to a plan than to a Evidence. Experimentally (yet undeniably), $\deg \theta$ is bounded by delusion.

The Seifert-Alexander Formula. With $P, Q \in H_1(\Sigma),$

$$Q(P,G) = T^{1/2}lk(P^+,G) - T^{-1/2}lk(P,G^+)$$
$$\Delta(K) = \det(Q)$$


$$\int_{2H_1(\Sigma)} dp \, dx \, \exp Q(p, x) \doteq \det(Q)^{-1}$$
(where \(\delta\) means "ignoring silly factors").

Perturbed Gaussian Integration. We say that $P_{\epsilon} \in \epsilon \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots x_n][\![\epsilon]\!]$ is *M*-docile (for some $M: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$) if for every monomial m From Mexico City, tariffs exempt in P_{ϵ} we have $\deg_{x_1,...,x_n}(m) \leq M(\deg_{\epsilon}(m))$.



Theorem (Feynman). If Q is a quadratic in x_1, \ldots, x_n and P_{ϵ} is What's "local"? How will we compute? The Bedlewo Alexancient in the ϵ -expansion of Z_{ϵ} is computable in polynomial time matrix A by adding for each crossing contributions in n. in fact,

$$\Delta^{1/2} Z_{\epsilon} \doteq \left\langle \exp Q^{-1}(\partial_{x_i}), \exp P_{\epsilon} \right\rangle$$



 $\mathcal{L}(X_{62}^+)$



where
$$\mathcal{L}(X_{ij}^s) \doteq e^{L(X_{ij}^s)}$$
, $\mathcal{L}(C_i^{\varphi}) \doteq e^{L(C_i^{\varphi})}$,

$$L(X_{ij}^s) = x_i(p_{i+1} - p_i) + x_j(p_{j+1} - p_j)$$


$$+(T-1)x_i(p_{i+1}-p_{j+1}-p_$$


$$+\frac{\epsilon s}{2}\left(x_i(p_i-p_j)\begin{pmatrix} (T^s-1)x_ip_j\\ +2(1-x_jp_j) \end{pmatrix}-1\right)$$

$$L(C_i^\varphi) = x_i(p_{i+1}-p_i) + \epsilon \varphi(1/2-x_ip_i)$$

 $\theta(T_1, T_2)$ is likewise, with harder formulas and integration over 6E.


Right. The 132-crossing torus knot $T_{22/7}$ (more at ωεβ/TK). **Below.** Random knots from [DHOEBL], with 101-115 crossings (more at $\omega \epsilon \beta/DK$).





Joint with Roland van der Veen. the genus of Σ . How else could such a genus bound arise? Further very strong evidence comes from the conjectural (yet undeniable) understanding of θ as the two-loop contribution to the Kontsevich integral [Oh] and/or as the "solvable approximation" of the universal sl_3 invariant [BN1, BV2].

Why so sweet? It will allow us to prove the aforementioned genus bound and likely, the hexagonal symmetry. Sweeter and dreamier, it may allow us to say something about ribbon knots!



docile, set $Z_{\epsilon} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dx_1 \cdots x_n \exp(Q + P_{\epsilon})$. Then every coeffider formula: Let F be the faces of a knot diagram. Make an $F \times F$


in
$$n$$
. in fact,
$$\Delta^{1/2}Z_{\epsilon} \doteq \left\langle \exp Q^{-1}(\partial_{x_{i}}), \exp P_{\epsilon} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} Q^{-1} & Q^{-1} & Q^{-1} \\ \text{sum over all pairings} \end{cases} \xrightarrow{k} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\theta(T, 1) \text{ is like that! With } \epsilon^{2} = 0, \qquad P_{\epsilon} \qquad \text{at rows / columns } (i, j, k, l). \text{ Then } \Delta = \det'\left((T^{1/2}A - T^{-1/2}A)/2\right).$$



(the Seifert algorithm by Emily Redelmeier)

Expect the like for θ ! Expect more like θ ! Topology first! Resist $+(T^s-1)x_i(p_{i+1}-p_{i+1})$ the tyranny of quantum algebra!



Video and more at http://www.math.toronto.edu/~drorbn/Talks/Pitzer-250308.