## MAT 347 Quotient groups October 7, 2015

Let G be a group and let  $S \subseteq G$ . We want to define an equivalence relation in G that will identify all the elements in S, and we want to maintain the group operation. Given  $a, b \in G$ , we say that  $a \sim b$  when there exists  $x \in S$  such that b = ax. In general, this relation will not be an equivalence relation.

1. Find necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\sim$  to be an equivalence relation.

For the rest of this worksheet, let G be a group and let  $H \leq G$ . We will consider the equivalence relation defined above with S = H. Given  $a \in G$ , the *left coset* of a is the equivalence class of this relation, and we denote it aH. (Why do we use this notation?) The *quotient set* G/H is the set of all equivalence classes. The *index* of H on G, written |G:H| is the number of equivalence classes (when this number is finite).

- 2. Prove that aH = bH iff [there exists  $x \in H$  such that b = ax] iff  $a^{-1}b \in H$
- 3. If H is finite, what is the cardinality of each coset aH? If both G and H are finite, what is the relation between |G|, |H|, and |G:H|?
- 4. Consider the group  $G = D_8$  and consider the two subgroups  $H_1 := < s >$  and  $H_2 := < r^2 >$ . For each of them, write the complete list of cosets, and list which elements are in each coset.

Next, we want to try to use the operation on G to define an operation on the set G/H. Given  $aH, bH \in G/H$ , we can try to define their product by

$$(aH) \star (bH) = (ab)H$$

[Note: I am using  $\star$  to emphasize that I am defining a new operation. As soon as we make sure this operation works and there is no ambiguity, we will drop the  $\star$ .]

5. In general, the operation  $\star$  is not well-defined. Going back to the example in Question 4, show that with one of those subgroups, the operation is well-defined, but with the other subgroup, the operation is not well-defined.

**Definition:** We say that the subgroup H is a *normal subgroup* of G when the operation  $\star$  in G/H is well-defined. We write it  $H \triangleleft G$ 

6. Assume  $H \subseteq G$ . In this case we know the operation in G/H is well-defined. What other conditions do we need to impose so that G/H is a group with this operation?

## The big theorem about normal subgroups

**Notation:** Let G be a group. Given subsets A, B and elements x, y we will use the following notation:

$$xA := \{xa \mid a \in A\}$$

$$xAy := \{xay \mid a \in A\}$$

$$AB := \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

- 7. Let G be a group and let  $H \subseteq G$ . Explore the relation between the following statements (which ones imply which ones)?
  - (a)  $H \subseteq G$
  - (b) aH = Ha for all  $a \in G$ (Notice that this does not mean that a commutes with the elements of H. It only means that the sets aH and Ha are the same set.)
  - (c)  $aHa^{-1} = H$  for all  $a \in G$
  - (d)  $aHa^{-1} \subseteq H$  for all  $a \in G$
  - (e) There exists some group L and some group homomorphism  $f:G\to L$  such that  $H=\ker f$ .
- 8. Find all normal subgroups of  $D_8$ .