

Lengths of geodesics between two points on a Riemannian manifold.

Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman

Abstract. Let x and y be two (not necessarily distinct) points on a closed Riemannian manifold M^n . According to a well-known theorem by J.P. Serre there exist infinitely many geodesics between x and y . It is obvious that the length of a shortest of these geodesics cannot exceed the diameter of the manifold. But what can be said about the lengths of the other geodesics? We conjecture that for every k there are k distinct geodesics of length $\leq k \operatorname{diam}(M^n)$. This conjecture is evidently true for round spheres and is not difficult to prove for all closed Riemannian manifolds with non-trivial torsion-free fundamental groups. In this paper we announce two further results in the direction of this conjecture: Our first result is that there always exists a second geodesic between x and y of length not exceeding $2n \operatorname{diam}(M^n)$. Our second result is that if $n = 2$ and M^2 is diffeomorphic to S^2 , then for every k every pair of points of M^2 can be connected by k distinct geodesics of length less than or equal to $(4k^2 - 2k - 1)\operatorname{diam}(M^2)$.

1. Introduction A classical theorem of J.P. Serre ([S]) established the existence of infinitely many geodesics between any two points on a closed Riemannian manifold. Yet almost nothing is known about lengths of these geodesics. Of course, it is almost a tautology that the length of a shortest of these geodesics does not exceed the diameter of the manifold. But what can be said about the lengths of a second shortest geodesic, the third shortest geodesic, etc. ? We think that the following conjecture could be true:

Conjecture 1. Let x and y be two points in a closed Riemannian manifold M^n . Then for every $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ there exist k distinct geodesics starting at x and ending at y of length not exceeding $k \operatorname{diam}(M^n)$.

Note that this conjecture is obvious for the round spheres. On the other hand it is not difficult to prove that:

Proposition 2. Assume that the fundamental group of M^n is non-trivial and torsion-free. Then Conjecture 1 is true for M^n .

Proof. Consider a non-contractible loop γ based at x of length $\leq 2\operatorname{diam}(M^n)$, realizing a non-trivial element of $\pi_1(M^n)$ of infinite order. (According to [Gr] there exists a basis for $\pi_1(M^n)$ made of loops of length $\leq 2\operatorname{diam}(M^n)$ based at x .) Let p denote the midpoint of γ . Let τ denote a shortest geodesic that goes from x to y and σ denote a shortest geodesic that goes from y to p . Finally, let γ_1 and γ_2 denote two distinct geodesics that go from x to p along γ . Without any loss of generality we can assume that the loop $\gamma_1 * \sigma^{-1} * \tau^{-1}$ is not contractible. (Here and below for every path ρ ρ^{-1} denotes ρ traversed in the opposite direction.) Now $\tau, \gamma_1 * \sigma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1} * \tau, \gamma * \gamma_1 * \sigma^{-1}, \dots, \gamma^{-i} * \tau, \gamma^i * \gamma_1 * \sigma^{-1}, \dots$ are pairwise non-path homotopic paths connecting x and y . The lengths of the first k of them do not exceed $k \operatorname{diam}(M^n)$. We obtain the desired geodesics minimizing the length in the corresponding path homotopy classes. QED.

The main purpose of this paper is to announce the following two results:

Theorem 3. Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold diffeomorphic to S^2 . Let x and y be two (not necessarily distinct) points of M . Then for every $k = 1, 2, \dots$ there exist k geodesics starting at x and ending at y of length not exceeding $(4k^2 - 2k - 1)diam(M^2)$. If $x = y$, then this upper bound can be replaced by a better bound $(4k^2 - 6k + 2)diam(M^2)$. (In this case k distinct geodesics are k geodesic loops based at x ; $k - 1$ of them are non-trivial).

Theorem 4. Let M^n be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension n . Let q be the minimal i such that $\pi_i(M^n) \neq 0$. Let x, y be any two points of M^n . Then there exist two distinct geodesics between x and y such that their lengths do not exceed $2q diam(M^n) (\leq 2n diam(M^n))$.

Remark. Assume that $x = y$ in Theorem 4. Then the shortest closed geodesic between x and y is trivial. Therefore in this case the statement of Theorem 4 asserts that for every $x \in M^n$ there exists a non-trivial geodesic loop in M^n based at x of length $\leq 2q diam(M^n) \leq 2n diam(M^n)$. This assertion had been proven by the second author in [R].

A complete proof of Theorem 3 will appear in [NR1], and a complete proof of Theorem 4 will appear in [NR2].

2. A sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.

If $x = y$, then the shortest geodesic between x and y is the trivial geodesic, and the theorem asserts that the length of a second shortest geodesic loop based at an arbitrary point x of M^n does not exceed $2qd \leq 2nd$. Here and below d denotes the diameter of M^n . This result has been recently proven by the second author in [R]. The proof in the general case when $x \neq y$ generalizes the proof in the case when $x = y$.

We can reinterpret the main construction in [R] as follows: Assume that there are no geodesic loops of length $\leq L$ based at x , and therefore the space Ω_L^x of all loops of length $\leq L$ based at x is contractible. This assumption can be used in order to perform the following inductive construction: Let z be any point of M^n , and C_i^z denotes the space of all i -tuples of paths between x and z of length $\leq \frac{L}{2(i-1)}$ for some $i = 2, \dots$. Then, using an induction with respect to i one can construct a continuous map from C_i^z into the space of continuous maps from the i -dimensional disc D^i into M^n . Each $(i + 1)$ -tuple of paths between x and z becomes a collection of meridians of the image of ∂D^i . So, the i -disc fills the i -tuple of paths. This construction described in [R] has a number of good properties. In particular, consider D^i as a regular i -dimensional simplex. Then, if one applies this construction to a subset that is made of some $(i - 1)$ paths among the i paths forming an i -tuple, then the resulting singular $(i - 1)$ -simplex will be a $(i - 1)$ -face of the singular i -simplex corresponding to the i -tuple. This construction can be generalized in order to fill not only i -tuples of paths between x and z but 1-dimensional complexes with vertices x, z_1, \dots, z_i pairwise connected by paths so that the lengths of all paths between z_i and z_j

are very small. (In other words the point z “splits” into i very close points connected by very short paths.)

Now the upper bound $2qd$ for the length of the shortest geodesic loop based at x can be proven by contradiction. Assume that there are no such loops, so that the construction outlined above can be applied. Take a non-contractible map of a q -sphere into M^n . Extend it to a map of a $(q+1)$ -disc thereby obtaining a contradiction as follows: Triangulate D^{q+1} as a cone over a very fine triangulation of S^q . Map the center of D^{q+1} into x , and all new 1-simplices into minimizing geodesics that start at x . Now extend the constructed map of the 1-skeleton of every $(q+1)$ -simplex σ of the triangulation of D^{q+1} to a map of σ by applying the construction above.

It turns out that in order to generalize this proof to the situation when $x \neq y$ one only needs to change the base of the inductive construction of maps of C_i^z into $Map(D^i, M^n)$, namely the construction of the map of C_2^z into $Map(D^2, M^n)$. In [R] this filling of digons was based on the following easy lemma: Let γ_1, γ_2 be two paths between x and z of length $\leq l$. Assume that there are no non-trivial geodesic loops based at x of length $\leq 2l$. Then there exists a path homotopy between γ_1 and γ_2 that passes through paths between x and z of length $\leq 3l$.

So, our goal can be achieved by replacing this lemma by the following lemma:

Lemma 5. Let l be a positive number, x, y, z points in a Riemannian manifold M^n , and γ_1, γ_2 two paths of length $\leq l$ between x and z in M^n . Assume that the distance between y and z does not exceed l . Finally, assume that there exists exactly one geodesic of length $\leq 2l$ between x and y . Then γ_1 and γ_2 can be connected by a path homotopy that passes only through paths between x and z of length $\leq 3l$.

3. A sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.

Let us start from the following definition:

Definition 6. Let M^n be a Riemannian manifold diffeomorphic to S^n , and L a positive number. Let $f : S^n \rightarrow M^n$ be a map of a non-zero degree such that the length of the image of every meridian of S^n does not exceed L . Then we call f a L -controlled vertical sweep-out of M^n .

The following proposition easily follows from a well-known geometric description of generators of homology groups of the loop space of S^n (cf. [Schw]):

Proposition 7. Assume that M^n is diffeomorphic to S^n and admits an L -controlled vertical sweep-out f for some L . Let x be the image of the South pole of S^n under f , and y be an arbitrary point of S^n . Then for every positive integer k there exist k distinct geodesics between x and y of length $\leq 2(k-1)L + \text{diam}(M^n)$. If, in addition, $x = y$ then this upper bound can be replaced by a better bound $2(k-1)L$.

In particular, the proposition implies that if M^n is diffeomorphic to S^n then the length of the k th geodesic between x and y is bounded by a linear function of k . The proof of this well-known fact for all simply connected closed Riemannian manifolds can be found in [Schw]. This proposition has the following immediate corollary: If we were able to derive an upper bound for L in an optimal vertical sweep-out in terms of $\text{diam}(M^n)$ (and

possibly n), then we would get an upper bound for the length of the k th geodesic between x and y of the form $c(n)k \operatorname{diam}(M^n)$. However, such an upper bound for L cannot be, in general, true even if $n = 2$ and M^n is diffeomorphic to S^2 . (One can construct a family of counterexamples using the example of M. Katz and S. Frankel [KF] of a family of metric 2-discs with diameter D and the length of the boundary l such that in order to contract the boundary one must first increase its length to $C(l + D)$, where C can be an arbitrary large constant.)

We are going to explain the ideas of the proof only in the case when M^2 is a real analytic Riemannian manifold. We refer the reader to the complete paper [NR1] for the proof in the smooth case.

First, we are going to present a sketch of the proof in the case, when $x = y$. We also assume that there exists a point $z \in M^2$ such that z is one of the most distant points for x , and x is one of the most distant points for z . These assumptions enable one to prove the theorem in a more geometric and transparent way than in the general case. We present here a rather detailed outline of the main geometric ideas of the proof in this simpler case in hope that this outline will facilitate the understanding of the general proof in [NR1]. We will then sketch how the proof in the simpler case can be modified to obtain a proof of the theorem in general.

Recall that the diameter of M^2 is denoted for brevity by d . In order to prove Theorem 3 we first attempt to construct a $3d$ -controlled vertical sweep-out of M^2 mapping the South pole into x . We will see that our attempt can only be prevented by a non-trivial geodesic loop based at x of length $\leq 2d$. Then we will attempt to construct a $5d$ -controlled vertical sweep-out so that our attempt can only be thwarted by a second “short” geodesic loop based at x , and so on. The worst situation appears when the controlled sweep-out appears after $k - 2$ attempts that will be blocked by $k - 2$ short non-trivial geodesic loops based at x . Then L is proportional to kd , leading to a bound for the length of the k th geodesic loop based at x that is quadratic in k . (In the worst case scenario the first $k - 1$ geodesic loops based at x provided by Proposition 7 can turn out to be $k - 1$ short geodesic loops that were already obtained.) Here are some details:

First, we are going to use the assumption that x is one of the most distant points for z , and z is one of the most distant points for x . According to an old observation of M. Berger in this case one can connect x and z by minimizing geodesics so that all angles of geodesic digons formed by any two neighboring geodesics γ_i and γ_{i+1} do not exceed π . Consider all minimizing geodesics between x and z . They split the manifold into digonal domains with angles $\leq \pi$. When we apply the Birkhoff curve shortening process fixing the endpoint x to any such digon the resulting homotopy takes place inside the domain it bounds. As the result we contract this digon either to x or to a geodesic loop based at x and contained in the closure of the domain bounded by this digon.

If there are no geodesic loops of length $\leq 2d$ based at x , then one can contract all such digons to x as loops based at x . Then one can use these homotopies to construct path homotopies between γ_i and γ_{i+1} passing via curves between x and z of length $\leq 3d$. (See [R] for details.) These path homotopies together provide a $3d$ -controlled vertical sweep-out of our 2-sphere.

If there is no such a sweep-out, then the application of the curve-shortening process

to one such digon D with vertices x, z ends at a non-trivial geodesic loop γ of length $\leq 2d$ based at x inside the considered digonal domain. Denote the midpoint of γ by m . If two segments of γ between x and m can be connected by a path homotopy without increase of length then γ does not present an obstruction to the attempted construction of the controlled vertical sweep-out of the sphere. Moreover, if there is such a path homotopy where lengths of paths are bounded by some number C , then there exists a $(C + 2d)$ -controlled vertical sweep-out. In order to construct such a controlled path homotopy consider the part of the cut-locus of x inside the domain bounded by γ . (W.l.o.g. we can assume that γ is not a closed geodesic, since in this case we will obtain the desired geodesic loops as multiples of this closed geodesic. By the domain bounded by γ we mean one of two connected components of the complement of γ that has an angle at x less than π .) It is easy to see that this part of the cut-locus is a non-empty finite tree, where there exist exactly two minimizing geodesics connecting x with any point on an edge of this tree, and at least three minimizing geodesics connecting x with any of its vertices.

If m is not a vertex of this tree, it must be on an edge. Slide it along the edge to the nearest vertex v of the cut-locus inside the domain bounded by γ . Correspondingly, we can find a homotopy of γ to a digon γ_1 along digons of length $\leq 2d$ based at x by connecting x with the points on the edge with two geodesics. All minimizing geodesics from x to v split a domain bounded by γ_1 into finitely many smaller domains with digonal boundaries with angles at x less than π . All of these domains but possibly one have angles at y not exceeding π . Now we can apply the curve shortening process to boundaries of all these domains. For every digonal domain with angles $\leq \pi$ we find ourselves in the situation considered above: either its boundary can be contracted to x by the curve-shortening process, and we can eliminate it by contracting one of its edges to the other without significantly increasing its length, or we get stuck at a geodesic loop δ , which is strictly inside of the domain bounded by x , and so is a new geodesic loop. In this case we repeat the argument for δ instead of γ , and so on. Every time when a new geodesic loop appears, L in the L -controlled vertical sweep-out that we hope to construct can only increase by no more than $2d$. If we can get rid of all digonal domains with angles $\leq \pi$ without encountering a new geodesic loop, then L does not increase.

The only digonal domain, Δ , where the angle at v might be greater than π seems to constitute a problem for us: When we apply the curve-shortening process to its boundary the resulting geodesic loop need not be inside this domain. As the result the curve-shortening process can end not at a new geodesic loop, but at a previously constructed geodesic loop, e.g. γ . Let us call such a digonal domain *fat*. So, we proceed in a slightly different manner. First, we observe that since there are no geodesics between x and v inside Δ , there is an edge of the cut-locus of x passing through v or ending at v inside Δ such that one can connect x with points of this edge in the closure of Δ by two continuously varying geodesics. We will use these geodesics to slide v and the boundary of Δ to a digon between x and another vertex w of the cut-locus of x strictly inside Δ . Then we consider all minimizing geodesics between x and w and iterate the construction with w instead of v . In the absence of new obstructing geodesic loops the process of constructing an L -controlled vertical sweep-out will end in finitely many steps since the cut-locus of x has finitely many edges.

This argument is sufficient to take care of fat digons, and to complete the proof in the case, when $x = y$ and x is one of the most distant from z points. But we are going to “improve” this argument having in mind the general case. We are going to make the following observation about another possible way to handle fat digons discussed in the previous paragraph:

Observe that the process of contracting digons and splitting digonal domains at vertices of the cut locus can be represented by means of a finite tree. Let us call this tree a *filling tree*. The initial digon is a root vertex of the tree. Assume that our attempts to construct an L -controlled vertical sweep-out are blocked by several obstructing geodesic loops before they finally succeed as outlined above. Then $L \leq 3d + 2d\lambda$, where λ denotes the maximal number of obstructing geodesic loops that we can encounter along a path from the root to one of the vertices of degree one (=leaves) of the filling tree. This observation enables us to deal with the fat digonal domains in a somewhat different manner: We can attempt to contract their boundaries using the Birkhoff curve-shortening process. The cases when the fat digon contracts to a point, or a new geodesic loop appears as the outcome of the Birkhoff curve-shortening process can be treated exactly in exactly the same way as the case, when the digon is not fat. The trouble was that the result can be a geodesic loop ω that has already arisen as an obstructing geodesic higher on the path from the root of the tree that describes the filling process. But in this case we can just connect ω with the fat digon by a homotopy passing through loops of length not exceeding the length of the fat digon. (Observe that this length does not exceed $2d$.) The fat digon is inside the domain bounded by ω , so the homotopy between ω and the fat digon enables us to eliminate the whole part of the filling tree between the point corresponding to ω and the point corresponding to the fat digon. Of course, the value of L does not increase as the result of homotoping ω to the fat digon. Now we can consider the part of the cut locus of x inside the domain bounded by the fat digon (with the angle at x less than π), slide the fat digon to the nearest vertex, etc. Note that if we modify the filling tree in accordance with the just explained idea, then all obstructing geodesic loops encountered along any path will be distinct. Therefore we will either obtain $k - 1$ distinct short non-trivial geodesic loops along some path (with together with the trivial loop constitute a set of k geodesic loops we want to find), or obtain an L -controlled vertical L sweep-out of M^2 with $L = (2k - 1)d$. Now Proposition 7 implies the desired estimate in the case, when $x = y$ and x is one of the most distant points for one of its most distant points.

Let us very briefly outline what we need to change in this proof to make it work in the general case when $x \neq y$ (or $x = y$ but x is not one of the most distant for z points of M^2). We need to learn how to contract loops based at x using a curve shortening process for paths between x and y . Here is how it can be done: Fix a minimizing geodesic ρ from x to y . Now proceed by means of the following path homotopies:

$$\gamma \longrightarrow \gamma * \rho * \rho^{-1} \longrightarrow \tau * \rho^{-1},$$

where τ is a geodesic between x and y obtained from $\gamma * \rho$ as the result of the application of a curve shortening process on the space of paths from x to y . If $\tau = \rho$, then we can cancel $\rho * \rho^{-1}$ over itself, and we are done. Otherwise, we will call τ an *obstructing geodesic*. If there are at most $k - 1$ geodesics between x and y , then at most $k - 2$ of them can arise

as obstructing geodesics for various loops based at x . Now the following observation is critical for our purposes: If two loops γ_1 and γ_2 based at x have the same obstructing geodesic, then they can be connected by a homotopy that passes through loops of length $\leq \max\{\text{length}(\gamma_1), \text{length}(\gamma_2)\} + 2\text{dist}(x, y) \leq \max\{\text{length}(\gamma_1), \text{length}(\gamma_2)\} + 2d \leq 4d$. Now it seems that proceeding as in the case, when $x = y$, that was described above, we either obtain k distinct obstructing geodesics between x and y , or an L -controlled vertical sweep-out of M^2 with $L \leq 2(k-2)d + 3d + 2\text{dist}(x, y) = (2k-1)d + 2\text{dist}(x, y) \leq (2k+1)d$.

Yet here we encounter a new technical problem. Previously, we used the fact that the digonal angles formed by the neighbouring minimizing geodesics between x and z had angles $\leq \pi$ to ensure that all path homotopies between these minimizing geodesics sweep M^2 with degree one. (All path homotopies took places within domains bounded by the considered digons.) Now our homotopies are all over the place, and we do not have any obvious way to ensure that all arcs constructed during our path homotopies sweep out M^2 with multiplicity one.

In order to circumvent this difficulty we are going to proceed as follows: Our original strategy was to connect x with one of the most distant point from x by minimizing geodesics, and to construct a vertical L -controlled sweep-out of M^2 by contracting digons formed by neighboring pairs of these minimizing geodesics. Now we are going to abandon this plan in favor of the following strategy (used in several previous papers of the authors): Consider a diffeomorphism $f : S^2 \rightarrow M^2$ and try to extend it to a map of D^3 into M^2 . Such an extension is obviously impossible. Endow S^2 with a very fine triangulation, and triangulate D^3 as a cone over the triangulation of S^2 with one new vertex, p . Map p into x , map all new one dimensional simplices (“radii” of D^3) into minimizing geodesics from x to the images of the endpoints of the radii under f . Before extending the map to new two-dimensional simplices, observe that their boundaries were mapped into two minimizing geodesics emanating from x and a very short geodesic connecting the endpoints of these minimizing geodesics. For all practical purposes these boundaries can be regarded as geodesic digons in M^2 . We contract them in the same way as we described above (using the cut locus of x to contract loops). More precisely, we consider a domain on M^2 bounded by the digon and the part of the cut locus of x inside this domain. For every vertex of the considered part of the cut locus (which is a tree) we consider all minimizing geodesics from x to this vertex. These minimizing geodesics split the domain inside the considered digon into smaller domains also bounded by digons, which are nested inside each other. If we can find a path homotopy from one side of a digon to the other with a controlled increase of length we can “eliminate” a domain bounded by this digon. It is not difficult to see that it is sufficient to know how to contract the boundaries of digons regarded as loop based at x via loops based at x of controlled length in order to find the required path homotopy. We are, however, prevented from contracting these loops in an appropriate way by obstructing geodesics. Yet, for every digon we can find a homotopy connecting it with the loop that is the boundary of the furthest digon “down the tree” with the same obstructing geodesic, and the length of loops during this homotopy increases by no more than $2d$. Therefore we find ourselves in the situation, where each obstructing geodesic influences the lengths of paths in only one path homotopy. As above, this leads to an inductive process of contraction of loops based at x that provides a desired bound for the

length of loops during the resulting homotopy. This homotopy yields a desired filling of the considered 2-simplex.

As the result, we either obtain k distinct short obstructing geodesics between x and y , or construct a path homotopy of one geodesic segment in the boundary of the digon to the other that passes through short curves. If there are less than k short obstructing geodesics, we will construct an extension to the 2-skeleton of D^3 . Consider now the boundaries of 3-simplices of D^3 . The image of one of four triangles in any such boundary is very small, and for all practical purposes can be treated as a point. For at least one of these boundary 2-spheres the constructed map of this sphere in M^2 is not contractible. Because of its construction we obtain the desired L -controlled vertical sweep-out of M^2 . Now an application of Proposition 7 completes the proof of the theorem.

Acknowledgements: The work of both authors had been partially supported by their NSF grants and their NSERC Discovery grants. The work of Regina Rotman had been also partially supported by NSERC University Faculty Award. We would like to thank Dima Burago for his suggestions that helped to improve the exposition.

References:

- [Gr] M. Gromov, “Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces”, Birkhauser, 1999.
- [KF] M. Katz, S. Frankel, “The Morse landscape of a Riemannian disc”, *Annales de l’Inst. Fourier*, 43(2)(1993), 503-507.
- [NR1] A. Nabutovsky, R. Rotman, “The length of geodesics on a two-dimensional sphere”, preprint.
- [NR2] A. Nabutovsky, R. Rotman, “The length of a second shortest geodesic”, preprint.
- [R] R. Rotman, “The length of a shortest geodesic loop at a point”, submitted for publication, available at <http://comet.lehman.cuny.edu/sormani/others/rotman.html>
- [S] J.P. Serre, “Homologie singulière des espaces fibrés. Applications”, *Ann. Math.*, 54(1951), 425-505.
- [Schw] A.S. Schwarz, “Geodesic arcs on Riemannian manifolds”, *Uspekhi Math. Nauk* (translated from Russian as “Russian Math. Surveys”), 13(6)(1958), 181-184.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S2E4, CANADA
and Department of Mathematics, McAllister Bldg., The Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, PA 16802, USA.