

Let  $x_c$  denote the path on which  $\mathcal{L}(x)$  attains its minimum value, write  $x = x_c + x_q$  with  $x_q \in W_{00}$ , and get

$$\psi(T, x) = c \int dx_0 \psi_0(x_0) \int_{W_{00}} \mathcal{D}x_q e^{i\mathcal{L}(x_c+x_q)}.$$

In our particular case  $\mathcal{L}$  is quadratic in  $x$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{L}(x_c + x_q) = \mathcal{L}(x_c) + \mathcal{L}(x_q)$  (this uses the fact that  $x_c$  is an extremal of  $\mathcal{L}$ , of course). Plugging this into what we already have, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(T, x) &= c \int dx_0 \psi_0(x_0) \int_{W_{00}} \mathcal{D}x_q e^{i\mathcal{L}(x_c)+i\mathcal{L}(x_q)} \\ &= c \int dx_0 \psi_0(x_0) e^{i\mathcal{L}(x_c)} \int_{W_{00}} \mathcal{D}x_q e^{i\mathcal{L}(x_q)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now this is excellent news, because the remaining path integral over  $W_{00}$  does not depend on  $x_0$  or  $x_n$ , and hence it is a constant! Allowing  $c$  to change its value from line to line, we get

$$\psi(T, x) = c \int dx_0 \psi_0(x_0) e^{i\mathcal{L}(x_c)}.$$

Lemma 3.4 now shows us that  $x_c(t) = x_0 \cos t + x_n \sin t$ . An easy explicit computation gives  $\mathcal{L}(x_c) = -x_0 x_n$ , and we arrive at our final result,

$$\psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}, x\right) = c \int dx_0 \psi_0(x_0) e^{-ix_0 x_n}.$$

Notice that this is precisely the formula for the Fourier transform of  $\psi_0$ ! That is, the answer to the question in the title of this document is “the particle gets Fourier transformed”, whatever that may mean.

### 3. THE LEMMAS

**Lemma 3.1.** For any two matrices  $A$  and  $B$ ,

$$e^{A+B} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( e^{A/n} e^{B/n} \right)^n.$$

*Proof.* (sketch) Using Taylor expansions, we see that  $e^{\frac{A+B}{n}}$  and  $e^{A/n} e^{B/n}$  differ by terms at most proportional to  $c/n^2$ . Raising to the  $n$ th power, the two sides differ by at most  $O(1/n)$ , and thus

$$e^{A+B} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( e^{\frac{A+B}{n}} \right)^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( e^{A/n} e^{B/n} \right)^n,$$

as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.**

$$\left( e^{itV} \psi_0 \right) (x) = e^{itV(x)} \psi_0(x).$$

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$\left( e^{i\frac{t}{2}\Delta} \psi_0 \right) (x) = c \int dx' e^{i\frac{(x-x')^2}{2t}} \psi_0(x').$$

*Proof.* In fact, the left hand side of this equality is just a solution  $\psi(t, x)$  of Schrödinger’s equation with  $V = 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \frac{i}{2} \Delta_x \psi, \quad \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0.$$

Taking the Fourier transform  $\tilde{\psi}(t, p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int e^{-ipx} \psi(t, x) dx$ , we get the equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}}{\partial t} = -i\frac{p^2}{2} \tilde{\psi}, \quad \tilde{\psi}|_{t=0} = \tilde{\psi}_0.$$

For a fixed  $p$ , this is a simple first order linear differential equation with respect to  $t$ , and thus,

$$\tilde{\psi}(t, p) = e^{-i\frac{tp^2}{2}} \tilde{\psi}_0(p).$$

Taking the inverse Fourier transform, which takes products to convolutions and Gaussians to other Gaussians, we get what we wanted to prove.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** With the notation of Section 2 and at the specific case of  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$  and  $T = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have

$$x_c(t) = x_0 \cos t + x_n \sin t.$$

*Proof.* If  $x_c$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $W_{x_0 x_n}$ , then for any  $x_q \in W_{00}$  there should be no term in  $\mathcal{L}(x_c + \epsilon x_q)$  which is linear in  $\epsilon$ . Now recall that

$$\mathcal{L}(x) = \int_0^T dt \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{x}^2(t) - V(x(t)) \right),$$

so using  $V(x_c + \epsilon x_q) \sim V(x_c) + \epsilon x_q V'(x_c)$  we find that the linear term in  $\epsilon$  in  $\mathcal{L}(x_c + \epsilon x_q)$  is

$$\int_0^T dt (\dot{x}_c \dot{x}_q - V'(x_c) x_q).$$

Integrating by parts and using  $x_q(0) = x_q(T) = 0$ , this becomes

$$\int_0^T dt (-\ddot{x}_c - V'(x_c)) x_q.$$

For this integral to vanish independently of  $x_q$ , we must have  $-\ddot{x}_c - V'(x_c) \equiv 0$ , or

$$\ddot{x}_c = -V'(x_c). \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{This is the famous } F = ma \\ \text{of Newton's, and we have just} \\ \text{rediscovered the principle of} \\ \text{least action!} \end{array} \right)$$

In our particular case this boils down to the equation

$$\ddot{x}_c = -x_c, \quad x_c(0) = x_0, \quad x_c(\pi/2) = x_n,$$

whose unique solution is displayed in the statement of this lemma.  $\square$