

Recall

$[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ compact
closed \subset compact \Rightarrow compact
compact $\subset T_2 \Rightarrow$ closed
 $f : X \rightarrow Y$ continuous, X compact $\Rightarrow f(X)$ compact
 X_1, \dots, X_n compact $\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ compact

Theorem 42

Suppose X is compact, Y is T_2 . Then any continuous bijection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism

Proof:

We need f^{-1} is continuous. $\Leftrightarrow f(D)$ closed in $Y \forall D \subset X$ closed. Take $D \subset X$ closed, As X is compact, D is compact. $f(D)$ is compact (by Theorem 41) \Rightarrow since Y is T_2 , $f(D)$ is closed. \square

§ 27, 28, 45 Compactness in metric spaces

Definition (X, d) is **bounded** if $\exists M > 0$ such that $d(x, y) \leq M$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Careful: This depends on the metric (not just the topology)

e.g. d and \bar{d} have the same topology and $\bar{d} \leq 1$.

Theorem 43 (Heine–Borel)

A subspace $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact $\Leftrightarrow X$ is closed and bounded in the Euclidean metric.

Example A closed ball $C_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - y| \leq r\}$ is compact.

Proof:

“ \Rightarrow ” X is compact, because \mathbb{R}^n is $T_2 \Rightarrow X$ closed. $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} B_n(0)$. Since X is compact, $X \subset B_n(0)$ for some n .

Take $M = 2n \Rightarrow X$ is bounded. “ \Leftarrow ” X is closed and bounded for $d(\text{Eucl})$. Since $d_{\square}(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|x_i - y_i|) \leq d(x, y)$

X bounded for d_{\square} . Fix $x_0 \in X$. Get : $d_{\square}(0, x) \leq d_{\square}(0, x_0) + d_{\square}(x_0, x)$. So if $M' = d_{\square}(0, x_0) + M$, then $X \subset [-M', M']^n$.

Theorem 35 + 40 $\Rightarrow [-M', M']^n$ is compact. X closed (in $\mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow$ in $[-M', M']^n$). $\Rightarrow X$ compact. \square

More generally

Theorem 44

(X, d) metric then the following are equivalent.

- (i) X compact
- (ii) X limit point compact
- (iii) X sequentially compact.
- (iv) X satisfies the Lebesgue Lemma + X totally bounded
- (v) X is complete + X totally bounded..

Recall $x \in \bar{A} \Leftrightarrow$ all nbds U of x intersect A (i.e. $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$), $x \in A' \Leftrightarrow$ outside x . (i.e. $U \cap A \not\subset \{x\}$)

In metric space, $x \in A' \Leftrightarrow$ every neighborhood U of x contains infinitely many points of A .

Proof:

We'll show (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i) and (iii) \Leftrightarrow (v)

Definition X is **limit point compact** if every infinite subset A has a limit point (i.e. $A' \neq \emptyset$)

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): X compact. Suppose X not limit point compact. $\forall x \in X, \exists U_x$ neighborhood of x such that $U_x \cap A \subset \{x\}$.
 $X = \bigcup_{x \in X} U_x \Rightarrow$ since X is compact, $X = U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_n}$ for some $x_i \in X$. $\Rightarrow A \subset \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ contradiction. \square

Definition X is **sequentially compact** if every sequence $x_n \in X$ ($n \geq 1$) has a convergent subsequence: i.e. $\exists 1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots$

such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, for some x . e.g. $x_n = (-1)^n \in \mathbb{R}$, take $n_i = 2i$ (or $2i + 1$)

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): X limit point compact. $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ sequence in X , $A := \{x_n : n \geq 1\}$.

Case 1 : A infinite

X limit point compact $\Rightarrow \exists x \in A'$. Construct subsequence by induction

Pick n_1 such that $x_{n_1} \in B_1(x)$ and $n_2 > n_1$ such that $x_{n_2} \in B_{1/2}(x)$. (can do that b/c any neighborhood contains infinitely many point of A). By construction, $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2 : A is finite

$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. $\exists j$ such that $\{n : x_n = a_j\}$ is infinite. Pick $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that $x_{n_i} = a_j$. $\forall i$

Then $x_{n_i} \rightarrow a_j$. \square

Definition X satisfies Lebesgue's Lemma if \forall open covers $\{U_\lambda\}$ of X , $\exists \delta > 0$ such that any δ -ball is contained in one of the U_λ .

Example \mathbb{R} doesn't satisfy LL

Definition X is **totally bounded** if $\forall \epsilon > 0$, X is a finite union of ϵ -balls.

Remark Totally bounded \Rightarrow bounded.

Pick any $\epsilon > 0$. Then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\epsilon(x_i)$. Then $\forall x, y \in X$. $\exists i, j$ such that $x \in B_\epsilon(x_i), y \in B_\epsilon(x_j)$

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_i) + d(x_i, x_j) + d(x_j, y) \leq 2\epsilon + \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} d(x_i, x_j).$$

bounded *does not* imply totally bounded.

e.g. Take $d =$ discrete metric. X infinite, $\epsilon < 1$.

But bounded \Leftrightarrow totally bounded for $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with Euclidean metric.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)

If X is not totally bounded $\Rightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $X \neq$ finite union of ϵ -balls.

Construct sequence $x_n \in X$ by induction. Pick x_1 arbitrarily. Pick $x_2 \in X \setminus B_\epsilon(x_1), \dots$, Pick $x_n \in X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_\epsilon(x_i), \dots$

X sequentially compact $\Rightarrow \exists$ convergent subsequence $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

$\Rightarrow d(x_{n_i}, x) < \epsilon/2$ for $i \gg 0$. $\Rightarrow d(x_{n_{i+1}}, x_{n_i}) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$. Contradicts that $x_{n_i} \notin B_\epsilon(x_{n_i})$.

If X does not satisfy Lebesgue, \exists open cover $\{U_\lambda\}$, $\forall \delta > 0$. $\exists \delta$ -ball in X that is not contained in any U_λ .

For $\delta = 1/n : \exists x_n$ such that $B_{1/n}(x_n)$ is not contained in any U_λ . X sequentially compact $\Rightarrow \exists$ convergent subsequence $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x$.

$x \in U_\lambda$ some λ . U_λ open $\Rightarrow \exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(x) \subset U_\lambda$.

Pick $i \gg 0$ such that $d(x_{n_i}, x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{n_i} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. $\Rightarrow B_{1/n_i}(x_{n_i}) \subset B_2(x) \subset U_\lambda$. contradiction. \square

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): X Lebesgue + totally bounded. Suppose $\{U_\lambda\}$ open cover, Lebesgue $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ (Lebesgue #), such that any δ -ball in X

is contained in one of the U_λ .

totally bounded $\Rightarrow X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_\delta(x_i)$ for some x_i . $\exists \lambda_i$ such that $B_\delta(x_i) \subset U_{\lambda_i}$. So $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{\lambda_i}$ (finite subcover).

Recall (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence converges.

Recall (X, d) complete, Y complete $\Leftrightarrow Y$ closed.

Remark Let Y be subset of X , and (X, d) metric. $x \in \overline{Y} \Leftrightarrow \exists$ sequence $y_n \in Y$ such that $y_n \rightarrow x$.