

Recall Tietze Extension Theorem

X T_4 space, $A \subset X$ closed then $f : A \rightarrow [a, b]$ cts $\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{f} : X \rightarrow [a, b]$ cts, $\tilde{f}|_A = f$

Small remark $f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cts, then $f_1 + f_2, f_1 f_2, \dots$ cts.

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{+} \mathbb{R}$$

$$x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x)) \rightarrow f_1(x) + f_2(x).$$

Corollary 55

Tietze also true for functions to \mathbb{R}

Proof:

Note : $\mathbb{R} \cong (-1, 1)$

$$x \mapsto \frac{\arctan x}{\pi/2}$$

Given $f : A \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ cts, by Theorem 54 $\exists g : X \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $g|_A = f$

“Problematic set” : $g^{-1}(\{-1, 1\})$ closed and disjoint from A .

By Urysohn $\exists \lambda : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\lambda|_A \equiv 1$, $\lambda_{g^{-1}(\{-1, 1\})} \equiv 0$.

Define $\tilde{f} := \lambda g$. Then $\tilde{f}|_A = g|_A = f$. But $\tilde{f}(x) \notin \{-1, 1\}$ for all x , since $|\tilde{f}| \leq |g|$. \square

Remark From $[a, b]$ -version get $[a, b]^n$ -version

From \mathbb{R} -version get \mathbb{R}^n -version

It is also true for $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|f(x)| \leq r$ for all x .

Reason: $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq r\} \cong [-1, 1]^n$.

Compactness (lecture by Michael)

Compact spaces are small spaces.

3 questions for topologists when one finds a new property.

- 1) does any space have it?
- 2) preserved by continuous mappings?
- 3) do subspaces have these property?

Are these sets compact ?

$$\{0\}^\omega$$

$$\{0, 1\}^\omega$$

How to prove these sets are compact ?

- 1) Use Konig's Lemma
- 2) Cantor set $\cong \{0, 1\}^\omega$

How about these sets?

$[0, 1]^\omega$ product topology

$[0, 1]^\infty$ box topology

Use compactness definition which works for all spaces.

Theorem A space X is compact if and only if for every collection \mathcal{F} of closed subsets of X with the finite intersection property, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Recall In $\prod X_\lambda$, basic open sets are of the form $\prod_{\lambda_1}^{-1}(U_{\lambda_1}) \cap \dots \cap \prod_{\lambda_n}^{-1}(U_{\lambda_n})$.

Definition Let X be a nonempty set, \mathcal{F} a collection of subsets of X . \mathcal{F} is a filter (on X) if

- i) \mathcal{F} , it has FIP^+ (i.e. for any collection $F \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $\bigcap F \in \mathcal{F}$.)
- ii) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$, $X \in \mathcal{F}$
- iii) \mathcal{F} is closed upwards (if $A \in \mathcal{F}$, $B \supseteq A$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$)

Example

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} : 100 \in (a, b)\}$ almost a filter (fails the third property)

$\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \exists (a, b) \ni 100 \text{ and } (a, b) \subseteq A\}$ This is a filter.

Biggest filter ? $\mathcal{F} \cup \{100\}$? No

Since $\{100\} \cup (0, 1) \notin \mathcal{F} \cap \{\{100\}\}$

So we define $\overline{\mathcal{F} \cup \{100\}} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : 100 \in A\} = \text{maximal(ultra) filter}$. (the notion \subseteq means closed upwards)

Definition A filter \mathcal{F} is maximal (or an ultrafilter) if whenever $\mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a filter, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F}$.

Fact 1 For every filter \mathcal{F} , there is an ultrafilter $\mathcal{D} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$.

Fact 2 If \mathcal{F} is an ultrafilter (on X) $A \subseteq X$, TFAE

- i) $A \in \mathcal{F}$
- ii) $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}$, $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$

Proof: (of fact 2)

“ \Rightarrow ” Obvious. “ \Leftarrow ” $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}$, $F \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Check that $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$ has FIP^+ .

Take $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$, then $F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n \in \mathcal{F}$. So $F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Add to \mathcal{F} all sets of the form $(F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_n \cap A)$ e.g. $(F_7 \cap F_9 \cap A)$

Let all sets of the above form \mathcal{F}_2

Then $\overline{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_2}$ is a filter, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_2}$. So by maximality, $\mathcal{F} = \overline{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_2}$

Proof (of fact 1) : use Zorn's lemma

Let \mathcal{F} be a filter, and let $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\lambda \subseteq \dots$

If we show $\bigcup_{\lambda \in I} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ is a filter, ZL says \mathcal{F} can be extended to an ultra filter.

$\{\mathcal{F}_\lambda \supseteq \mathcal{F} : \lambda \in I\}$ is a **chain** if given $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in I$ then $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$ or $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1} \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}$.

Call $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \bigcup_{\lambda \in I} \mathcal{F}_\lambda$. We know $X \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$, $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\infty$.

Claim \mathcal{F}_∞ has FIP^+ .

Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$. $\exists \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_n}$ be in the chain such that $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_i}$.

So there is a λ_N such that $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_i} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_N}$, $\forall i$. Check closed upwards.

Tychonoff's Theorem

Let X_λ be compact then $\prod X_\lambda$ is compact.

Proof:

Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of closed sets with the FIP. Let $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \dots \cap F_n : F_i \in \mathcal{F}\}$

Then $\overline{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_1}$ is a filter. From then we extend $\overline{\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{F}_1}$ to an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . We want $\emptyset \neq \bigcap \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{F}$

Let $\mathcal{U}_\lambda = \{\gamma \subseteq X_\lambda : \pi_\lambda^{-1}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Let $\pi_\lambda^{-1}(A \cap B) = \pi_\lambda^{-1}(A) \cap \pi_\lambda^{-1}(B)$. Since π_λ are continuous, $\pi_\lambda^{-1}(C)$ is closed for C closed.

Fact \mathcal{U}_λ is an ultrafilter on X_λ , we know $\bigcap \mathcal{U}_\lambda \neq \emptyset$. Pick $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}_\lambda$

Claim $\mathcal{F} : I \rightarrow \bigcup X_\lambda$ given by $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$

- i) $\mathcal{F} \in \prod X_\lambda$
- ii) $\mathcal{F} \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}$

Want if B a basic open set contains \mathcal{F} , then $B \in \mathcal{U}$. It is enough to show every subbasic open set $S \ni \mathcal{F}$ is in \mathcal{U} .

if (i), then $\mathcal{F} \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{F} \in B} \mathcal{B} \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{U} = \overline{\bigcap \mathcal{U}}$

Let $S = \pi_\lambda^{-1}(U_\lambda) \ni \mathcal{F}$. (U_λ open in X_λ). So $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) \in U_\lambda$. Also $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}_\lambda$. $U_\lambda \cap \bigcap \mathcal{U}_\lambda \neq \emptyset$.

So $U_\lambda \cap F \neq \emptyset$, $\forall F \in \mathcal{U}$. So $U_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda$. Now let $\mathcal{F} \in U$ an open sset in $\prod X_\lambda$. So there is a basic open B such that $\mathcal{F} \in B \subseteq U$.

TFAE

- i) Zorn's lemma
- ii) Axiom of choice
- iii) Tychonoff's theorem